Introduction to the American Bar Foundation

The American Bar Foundation (ABF) is among the world’s leading research institutes for the empirical and interdisciplinary study of law. An independent, nonprofit organization for nearly seventy years, the ABF seeks to advance the understanding and improvement of law through research projects of unmatched scale and quality on the most pressing issues facing the legal system in the United States and the world. The ABF is committed to the broad dissemination of its research findings to the organized bar, scholars, and the public. These findings are published in a wide range of forums, including leading academic journals, law reviews, and academic and commercial presses.

Mission
The American Bar Foundation seeks to expand knowledge and advance justice through innovative, interdisciplinary, and rigorous empirical research on law, legal processes, and legal institutions. To further this mission, the ABF will produce timely, cutting-edge research of the highest quality to inform and guide the legal profession, the academy, and society in the United States and internationally.

Research Faculty
The research program of the ABF is implemented through the projects designed and conducted by the members of the ABF’s resident research faculty. ABF Research Professors are among the leading scholars in their disciplines, which include anthropology, economics, history, law, political science, psychology, and sociology. A research project is undertaken only after the completion of a very extensive review process. The internal review committee, an external review panel, the Research Committee of the ABF Board of Directors, and ultimately the full ABF Board must conclude that the proposed study will make a significant contribution to the field and that the research can be carried out with the appropriate standards of integrity, human subject protection, and scholarship.

Funding
The Foundation extends special thanks to the American Bar Endowment (ABE). The American Bar Endowment’s grant of $3,496,588 in fiscal year 2020-21 makes the Endowment the ABF’s largest supporter. Founded in 1942, the ABE is a charitable organization dedicated to improving the quality of justice in the United States by funding research, educational, and public service projects in the field of law. ABA members who participate in the Endowment’s group insurance programs can contribute to these efforts. Those members who participate in the Endowment’s insurance plans and allow the ABE to retain dividends payable on the group insurance policies provide essential support for the ABE’s grant program. The Foundation would like to thank all ABA members who participate in ABE insurance plans and donate their dividends, along with the ABE, for the valuable funding they have provided.

Other supporters include the Fellows of the American Bar Foundation, corporations, private foundations, and government agencies that award grants to support specific research projects and other ABF programs. The ABF is recognized as a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization.

The Fellows of the American Bar Foundation
The Fellows of the American Bar Foundation is an organization of lawyers, judges, law faculty, and legal scholars who have been elected by their peers to become members of the Fellows because of their outstanding achievements in the legal profession. The Fellows support the research work of the American Bar Foundation through their annual contributions and sponsor seminars and events of direct relevance to leaders of the legal profession.
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It is a bittersweet honor to write my last Annual Report Letter as the Executive Director of the American Bar Foundation (ABF). Nearly seven years ago, I had the distinct privilege to become the ABF’s ninth Director. At that time, I was returning home to the research institute that helped launch my academic career. I now look forward to passing the baton to my successor this summer and joining my colleagues as an ABF Research Professor, as well as a Professor of Law & History at Northwestern University.

As everyone knows, the last two years have been challenging for all of us. A global health care crisis has tested the resiliency of all nonprofit organizations, including the ABF. We, of course, mourn all those whom we have lost due to the pandemic. While the world slowly returns to a new sense of normal, the ABF continues to do its part to expand knowledge and advance justice. Despite the pandemic, our community has continued to produce highly innovative and influential research. Indeed, the ABF remains among the world’s leading research institutes for the study of law because of our longstanding commitment to serious, sophisticated, empirical and interdisciplinary scholarship. Five years ago, we completed a strategic plan that recategorized our research into three broad groups: (1) learning and practicing law; (2) protecting rights/accessing justice; and (3) making and implementing law. In the pages that follow, we highlight publications and programs from each of these broad research contours.

The past year in particular has been a highly productive one for ABF research and programming. Our community continues to generate cutting-edge research on diversity in legal education and the profession, with the capstone book from the After the JD project currently in completion. Similarly, our work on nearly all aspects of criminal justice—from the collateral damage of mass incarceration to juvenile justice issues to the impact of problematic policing on political participation—remains a vital part of ABF research. Our scholarship on international law, including the rule of law during wartime and the relationship between the legal institutions and democratic governance, continues to provoke significant policymaking conversations. In this sense, all of our ABF research operates not only at the intersection of law and the social sciences, but more importantly at the juncture of law and society.

In addition to our research, ABF programing has also remained at the forefront of identifying and recruiting the next generation of sociolegal scholars. As part of our strategic plan, we have implemented a strategy for faculty succession that has brought several new researchers to the ABF over the last six years, as some of our most distinguished senior scholars have begun phased retirements. At the same time, we continue to mentor emerging, junior scholars through our many postdoctoral and doctoral programs, and our Montgomery Summer Undergraduate Research Fellows program for diverse college students. These young, new scholars continue to bring fresh energy and novel ideas to our ABF community.

None of ABF research and programming would be possible but for the support of our entire community. Our ABF community encompasses not only our brilliant scholars, dedicated staff and engaged board of directors, but also our generous supporters and partners. Many thanks, as always, to the American Bar Endowment for their annual, unrestricted grant, and to all of the individuals who support us as ABF Fellows. We are also grateful to our longstanding and new grantors, including the National Science Foundation, the AccessLex Institute and, most recently, the JPB Foundation and the Urban Institute. We look forward to continuing these significant institutional relationships for many years to come.

In conclusion, let me add my personal thanks to all of the individuals and organizations who have supported me and the ABF during my time as director. Leading this storied organization has been one of the highlights of my professional career. Because my ABF roots run deep, I hope always to remain a committed member of the ABF community. Thank you.
The ABF Launches the Ruth Bader Ginsburg Endowed Fund for Research in Civil Rights and Gender Equality

Late United States Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg spent her career as a fierce advocate for equal justice under law. She was a tireless supporter of civil rights, and she inspired countless lawyers and advocates to fight for civil rights for all in the United States. Although progress has been made, the modern civil rights movement continues to work to address the serious and significant inequities in our society.

The American Bar Foundation’s (ABF) Ruth Bader Ginsburg Endowed Fund for Research in Civil Rights and Gender Equality aims to honor Justice Ginsburg’s lifelong work to ensure that all Americans receive equal protection under the law. It commemorates her legacy as a legal trailblazer by supporting significant and pathbreaking research and programming on civil rights and gender equality. This endowed fund is dedicated to preserving and upholding Justice Ginsburg’s legacy by championing scholarship that will engender a more fair, equitable, and just future.

U.S. Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg received The Fellows annual award for outstanding research in law and government at the 1995 ABA Annual Meeting.

The endowed fund also pays tribute to Justice Ginsburg’s steadfast and generous support of the ABF. As a part of her longstanding service to the American public and the legal profession, Justice Ginsburg served on the ABF Board of Directors for more than a decade prior to her nomination to the United States Supreme Court. She was an officer on the ABF Board, holding the position of Board Secretary for many years. Justice Ginsburg was also a Patron Fellow of the ABF and played a crucial role in making the ABF the institution it is today. The endowed fund has been endorsed by Justice Ginsburg’s children, James and Jane Ginsburg, in honor of their mother’s long legacy and affiliation with the ABF.

In order to achieve this vision, the ABF seeks to build the Ruth Bader Ginsburg Endowment to support high-quality research and programming in civil rights and gender equality. Each gift is essential to helping the ABF lead the way forward with empirical and interdisciplinary research that promotes a more equal and just society. Supporters of the campaign will share in the success of this vital undertaking.
New Book Examines the Evolving Meaning of Civil Rights in America

A new book by American Bar Foundation Research Professor Christopher W. Schmidt examines the history of America’s fight over the meaning of civil rights. Published by Cambridge University Press, Civil Rights in America: A History is the first book to trace the evolution of the term “civil rights” in America from the Civil War through today.

Generations of Americans have struggled over what it means to live in a nation dedicated to protecting civil rights, with each generation giving the label new life and new meaning. Today civil rights is most commonly used to refer to protections against racial discrimination, and it is also used to describe protections against discrimination based on sex, age, disability, and sexual orientation. Some seek to characterize the right to bear arms or religious freedoms as issues of civil rights. Others argue that economic opportunity or education are the real civil rights challenges of our day. The term has such a familiar presence in discussions about American politics and law that it tends to be used reflexively and intuitively. Rarely, however, do people stop to think about what it means to label something an issue of civil rights and why certain uses seem right or wrong.

There has never been a singular, unchanging definition of civil rights, Schmidt argues. His book charts the historical evolution of an evolving collection of civil rights concepts, which gain salience and fall into disuse as people draw on the label for their own purposes.

Schmidt contends that struggles over the meaning of civil rights have been central to our ongoing efforts to make the United States a more equal and just society.

“In this book, I hope to convey the rich complexity as well as the power that history has infused into this term,” said Schmidt, who in addition to being an ABF faculty member is also a law professor at Chicago-Kent College of Law. “Americans have often used civil rights to limit the scope and speed of social change. For this reason, Black Americans, the group that is most identified with the term, have a long history of ambivalence toward it. Yet the term has also served a distinctively valuable role as a tool for translating aspiration into legal change. In telling this history of civil rights, I reveal a conception of civil rights that is flawed, modest, and limited—but, under the right circumstances, transformative.”

Civil Rights in America: A History examines the power of the civil rights label and the importance of its historical context as different groups used it to legitimize their own causes. Schmidt brings his historical account up to the present day, when both liberals and conservatives proclaim their commitment to defending civil rights, yet with very different conceptions of what this means. The book demonstrates how words can become objects of contestation and used as points of leverage for social, political, and legal action.

“Chris Schmidt’s book is vital to our understanding of the historical and current meanings of civil rights,” said ABF Executive Director Ajay K. Mehrotra. “In his deeply empirical reexamination of this seemingly familiar phrase, Chris helps us make sense of the term as we work to expand knowledge and advance justice.”

A New Study Examines the Disappearing Jury Trials

A new study co-authored by American Bar Foundation Research Professor Shari Seidman Diamond explains the decline in jury trials and examines factors that can slow down or reverse their disappearance. The study finds that while judges and lawyers agree that jury trials are fairer than other alternatives, jury trials have been suppressed by laws such as mandatory arbitration, damage caps, criminal sentencing guidelines, and mandatory minimum sentences.

Administered by The American Bar Association (ABA) Commission on the American Jury, the study surveyed 1,460 lawyers and judges from 2016 to 2019.
on the importance of jury trials in the judicial process. The article, titled “Reasons for the Disappearing Jury Trial: Perspectives from Attorneys and Judges,” was co-authored by Diamond, also a Northwestern University law professor, and Jessica M. Salerno, an associate professor of psychology at Arizona State University. Results of the study were published in the Louisiana Law Review as part of a symposium issue on “We the Jury.”

According to the article, the number of cases decided by jury trials has dropped so dramatically in recent years in both federal and state courts that “the jury trial is an exceptional rather than a commonplace outcome.” The percentage of civil jury trials fell from 5.5% in 1962 to 0.8% in 2013. The percentage of criminal jury trials fell from 8.2% in 1962 to 3.6% in 2013.

In their responses to the survey, judges and lawyers said that other case resolution procedures are more predictable, faster, and more cost-effective than jury trials. However, judges and lawyers said that jury trials excel in terms of fairness and are worth the costs associated with them. These favorable responses suggest that measures should be taken to reverse the disappearing trend of jury trials.

The authors recommend steps to help protect jury trials, including eliminating mandatory arbitration and damage caps. Lawyers and judges have indicated that these laws are the most significant influences in reducing jury trial rates in civil cases. They also recommend reforming criminal sentencing guidelines and abolishing mandatory minimums, which discourage jury trials in criminal cases due to the severe penalties attached to these laws. Instead, defendants are pressured to plead guilty.

The survey found that both judges and attorneys rank mediation as the fairest way to resolve civil cases, followed by jury and bench trials, and find arbitration to be the least fair. Survey results also show that lawyers perceive judges and mediators, as well as the opposing parties’ attorneys, as the main sources of pressure to settle civil cases and forego trial.

“The factors that have reduced access to and use of jury trials comes at a cost to society,” said Diamond. “Our laws need to safeguard citizen participation in the justice system by ensuring that jury trials don’t disappear.”

The study concludes that as fewer jury trials take place, citizens’ ability to provide feedback in the justice system is limited, which “threatens the values of a deliberative democracy.”

The American Bar Foundation Launches Whose Law Is It Anyway? Podcast

The American Bar Foundation premiered the Whose Law Is It Anyway? podcast on Tuesday, April 27, 2021. The podcast explores U.S. and international social issues

Shari Seidman Diamond
and legal challenges through exclusive interviews with ABF scholars, experts, and legal practitioners.

*Whose Law Is It Anyway?* goes beyond the headlines and into classrooms, courtrooms, and homes, illustrating how empirical and interdisciplinary research matters in everyday life. Echoing the ABF’s motto, “Expanding Knowledge and Advancing Justice,” the podcast offers an in-depth exploration of sociolegal subjects such as access to justice, rent and housing, civil rights, and sexual consent on college campuses.

In each episode, host Matthew Martinez Hannon interviews the ABF’s researchers and other top scholars to learn how they seek the uncompromising truth through interdisciplinary and empirical research. Rounding out this long-form, in-depth interview is a conversation with experts and legal practitioners that provides insight into the real-world impact of these topics.

As the ABF’s Development Associate, Hannon is new to sociolegal research, but that makes him an ideal podcast host. His intellectual journey, and the questions he asks, will offer new insights as he learns alongside listeners about the rules and laws that govern our lives.

“We’re excited to debut the ABF’s new podcast and share our research with intellectually curious listeners throughout the world,” said Mehrotra. “With each episode of this podcast, the audience will have the opportunity to hear compelling, in-depth conversations with a diverse range of voices.”

The first episode of *Whose Law Is It Anyway?* highlighted access to justice in the United States. Hannon interviewed ABF Faculty Fellow and Arizona State University Professor Rebecca Sandefur about her work studying how everyday people view and contend with their justice problems. Salvador Mungia, a partner at Gordon Thomas Honeywell and ABF Life Fellow, discussed his view of justice in the United States and the lessons he learned from serving on the Washington State Access to Justice Board.

New podcast episodes are released monthly and available to stream or download on Apple Podcasts, Spotify, or any podcast streaming platform. Find links to all platforms on the Anchor.fm show page or the ABF website.

**Scholars Showcase Highlights Work on Advancing Access to Justice**

The inaugural cohort of the American Bar Foundation/JPB Foundation Access to Justice Scholars presented their projects during a virtual event on Saturday, April 24. The six faculty scholars discussed their research, which advances access to justice scholarship and practice across the United States.

Between half to two-thirds of Americans confront at least one civil justice problem, leading to critical negative
results, including poverty, unemployment, losing a home and even health problems. Yet, limited knowledge about access to civil justice has prevented many Americans from addressing everyday problems through the law.

The ABF/JPB Foundation Access to Justice Scholars Program seeks to generate more effective approaches to access to justice that can combat poverty and improve justice for all. The program brings together two cohorts of six Faculty Scholars serving overlapping 15-month terms. The program seeks to support the scholars’ research, mentor their progress, and build intellectual relationships needed to grow the access to justice field. The goal is to obtain new knowledge, establish a theoretical and empirical understanding of what is currently happening with access to civil justice, and create concrete proposals for increasing access that are likely to be effective, scalable, and sustainable.

Over the past year, the first cohort of six ABF/JPB scholars from diverse disciplines have worked to address a variety of civil justice problems in America, including: understanding how people seek legal help on the internet, examining how automated systems will affect access to justice, exploring legal services for medically complex children and their parents, and identifying immigration lawyers and judges and their impact in removal proceedings.

“In the midst of a global pandemic, renewed attention on access to civil justice could not be timelier,” said Program Director Rebecca Sandefur. “With creativity and hard work, these scholars are helping to change the way we solve legal problems, practice law, and seek justice.”

The showcase featured the following Access to Justice Scholars:

- **Margaret Hagan** (Director of the Legal Design Lab, Stanford University) seeks to understand how people obtain legal help on the internet, and what kinds of strategies can most effectively engage them with public legal help.
- **Rebecca Johnson** (Incoming Assistant Professor in Quantitative Social Science, Dartmouth College) investigates how school districts grapple with ethical dilemmas about whom to help as they navigate legal mandates that conflict with fiscal realities.
- **Sarah Lageson** (Assistant Professor at Rutgers University-Newark School of Criminal Justice) examines how automated systems will change our understandings of access to justice, the perpetuation of bias and inequality, and effective legal outcomes.
- **Erin Paquette** (Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine) seeks to describe legal issues in a group of medically complex children who were referred for legal services, explore parental experiences with the medical-legal partnership, and evaluate health outcomes associated with referral to a medical-legal partnership.
- **Emily Ryo** (Professor of Law and Sociology, USC Gould School of Law) seeks to advance a comprehensive understanding of who immigration lawyers are and the contingent nature of their impact on removal proceedings.
- **Kathryn M. Young** (Assistant Professor of Sociology at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst) investigates everyday people’s legal consciousness—their beliefs and understandings of the law—around seven key civil justice problems.

The event also featured remarks from: Rebecca Sandefur, Program Director, ABF Faculty Fellow, and professor at Arizona State University; and Ajay K. Mehrotra, ABF Executive Director and Research Professor.

**UC Berkeley Law Professor Appointed the 2021-22 ABF William H. Neukom Fellows Research Chair in Diversity and Law**

Professor Ian Haney López has been named the American Bar Foundation 2021-22 William H. Neukom Fellows Research Chair in Diversity and Law. Haney López is the Chief Justice Earl Warren Professor of Public Law at the University of California Berkeley Law School, where he teaches race and constitutional law. He specializes in Critical Race Theory and is a leading thinker on the evolution of racism since the 1960’s civil rights era.

In the past decade, Haney López has studied the use of racism as a class weapon in electoral politics. As Neukom Chair, he will examine Latino voting participation, with a particular focus on their response to racialized rhetoric that demonizes “illegal aliens.” His project will study the connection between electoral rhetoric and racial identity, as well as the link between racial beliefs and public policy.

“I am excited to work alongside the amazing group of scholars at the ABF during my time as Neukom Chair,” said Haney López. “I look forward to the collaborative nature of the ABF’s academic environment and working together towards innovative and pathbreaking scholarship.”

The William H. Neukom Fellows Research Chair in Diversity and Law was established in 2014. Since 2015, the Chair has been held annually by a leading international legal scholar who spends the academic year as a Visiting Research Professor at the ABF, and whose scholarship focuses on diversity, equity, and inclusion.
The Chair leads empirical and interdisciplinary research at the ABF on law and legal processes related to issues of diversity and inequality experienced by women, people of color, people with disabilities, and persons from the LGBTQ community. The work of the Chair builds on the ABF’s robust law and diversity research program and fosters collaboration among the community of scholars and legal practitioners concerned with these issues.

“The ABF is excited to welcome Professor Haney López as our incoming Neukom Chair. As an expert on race, law and American politics, Ian will continue to build upon the principles and values of the Neukom Research Chair in Diversity and Law,” said Mehrotra.

Haney López has published four books and two anthologies. He has been a visiting professor at both Yale and Harvard University. In his latest book, Merge Left (2019), Haney López reported on his work with communication specialists and pollsters to develop an effective Democratic response and explained Donald Trump’s complex relationship with dog whistling. In Dog Whistle Politics (2014), he detailed the fifty-year history of coded racism in American politics. He has co-chaired the AFL-CIO’s Advisory Council on Racial and Economic Justice, co-founded the Race-Class Narrative Project, and created the Race-Class Academy. Haney López received his M.P.A. from Princeton University and his J.D. from Harvard University.

---

Meera E. Deo was the 2020-2021 William H. Neukom Fellows Research Chair in Diversity and Law. Meera E. Deo, the 2020-21 ABF Neukom Chair, is a renowned interdisciplinary scholar on legal education and diversity. She is a professor, author, director, and national speaker. Her research merges jurisprudence with empirical methods to interrogate institutional diversity, affirmative action, and racial representation.

Deo is currently a law professor at Southwestern Law School in Los Angeles and a member of the American Law Institute. She was previously a tenured professor at Thomas Jefferson School of Law. Deo has also held previous visiting positions at Berkeley Law, UC Irvine School of Law, UCLA School of Law, UC Davis School of Law, and New College of Florida.

Before becoming Neukom Chair, Deo collaborated with ABF Research Professor Elizabeth Mertz and former ABF Visiting Scholar Mindie Lazarus-Black in editing the book Power, Legal Education, and Law School Cultures (Routledge Press, 2019). Their work examines how hierarchies of power and cultural norms shape and maintain inequities in legal education. The ABF hosted a book launch of their work in March 2020, just before...
the pandemic closed the ABF offices. Deo was later offered the position of Neukom Chair.

Deo is the author of *Unequal Profession: Race and Gender in Legal Academia*, the first empirical study on the barriers underrepresented law professors face in legal academia that covers the full spectrum of faculty from Assistant Professor to Dean Emeritus. Her book reports findings from her project, *Diversity in Legal Academia*. A rigorous mixed-method empirical study of law professors tied to Critical Race Theory, privilege, implicit bias, and more, her book reveals the ongoing race and gender biases faculty encounter in legal academia. Deo also proposes structural solutions to improve faculty conditions and law schools.

Throughout her interviews with participants in the *Diversity in Legal Academia* project, Deo found that professors’ race and gender often affect their interaction with students, promotional opportunities, and work/life balance. Their stories reveal challenges of belonging. Faculty of color face barriers to entry as employers in legal academia rely on overrated credentials in their recruiting process. Deo’s research finds that potential hires are expected to be graduates from elite schools, have clerkship experience, fellowships, and PhDs. However, many candidates of color lack this experience due to economic and social constraints. Consequently, privileged white candidates who attended elite schools have the upper hand in the hiring process.

Notably, women of color struggle to view administrative leadership positions as a viable path, given the lack of representation of their race and gender in those respective roles. Deo finds that privileged law students often challenge women of color faculty. Some experience being “tested” by their students, who asked purely tangential questions to trip them up. In addition, many face the expectation of students to have a white, male professor rather than a woman of color. In her research, Deo finds that women of color had to do more to prove their professional competence than their white male colleagues.

The book also examines how female professors are more likely to be overloaded by responsibilities than male colleagues, as they are given extra roles, including more student meetings and service on university committees. Deo’s research highlights the work/life balance challenges for women faculty, who have personal responsibilities such as caregiving for family members, ultimately affecting their professional lives. Female professors also encounter mansplaining and silencing in their jobs. Women faculty expressed being ignored and silenced by their male counterparts. One common pattern among female professors was to have their ideas ignored, but those same ideas were accepted when conveyed by a male colleague.

At the ABF, Deo initiated the Pandemic Effects of Legal Academia (PELA) project. As a part of this project, she wrote “Investigating Pandemic Effects on Legal Academia” (*Fordham Law Review*, Vol. 89, 2021), using quantitative and qualitative data to study how the pandemic affects the retention and mental health of law faculty. The study focuses on the most vulnerable professors, emphasizing junior faculty, caregivers, faculty of color, and women faculty.

In her preliminary analyses, Deo observes that women faculty spent significant time supporting students and transforming their classes to be more accessible to learning online as students’ stress skyrocketed due to school closings. In addition, students report feeling more comfortable speaking with women faculty, whom they see as more available and responsive to their needs. Thus, many women faculty found themselves in the dual roles of professor and therapist for their students.

Deo’s research from *Unequal Profession* confirms that women tend to serve as primary caretakers for children, elderly parents, and even neighbors. In the PELA study, she finds the pandemic intensified the role of caretakers, especially for women. This was especially true for those with children at home due to school closures. In turn, this extra time dedicated to such priorities affected the time they could spend on scholarship. Her study also concludes that male and female pre-tenure and contract-based faculty are especially vulnerable since they do not have security from tenure and, in many cases, cannot even vote on faculty issues. Some faculty reported having difficulty focusing on their writing, while others said they felt anxiety because of their low productivity, and a few did not write all year.

Deo’s PELA study uncovered the impact of the pandemic on law professors’ mental health. Grief due to losing a loved one, isolation, and guilt were significant contributors to negative mental health outcomes. In addition, some reported having an increased sense of loneliness due to the inability to interact with others.

Deo’s study calls for action, including increasing faculty support, evaluating individual situations of junior faculty, and providing teacher relief and equipment for their classes as needed. The PELA study also includes content that individuals and institutions can use to improve diversity, equity, and inclusion efforts, including affirmative action policies. Deo has shared her findings through numerous presentations to the ABF Fellows, the ABF research community, and other external institutions.
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“I could not have done this research without the support of the ABF,” said Deo. “It was a pleasure to build relationships with people from all parts of the ABF community, from doctoral students who sought my advice to senior scholars who made themselves available to talk through issues about methods.”

The ABF Welcomes New Board Members
The American Bar Foundation announced the election of four new board members who will help the organization pursue its mission of expanding knowledge and advancing justice. Sandra J. Chan, Ellen M. Jakovic, Daniel B. Rodriguez, and Hon. Diane P. Wood were each elected to serve a five-year term on the ABF Board of Directors. Chan will also become ABF Treasurer.

“We are extremely excited and grateful to welcome four new board members,” said Ajay K. Mehrotra, ABF Executive Director and Research Professor. “Each one of them provides our board with a distinguished background and unique perspective, and we’re grateful for the opportunity to channel their expertise and energy into furthering our mission.”

The four new board members began their new terms on the ABF board on September 1, 2021.

- **Sandra Chan** established her own estate planning, trusts, and estates practice in 1997, and retired from private practice in September 2016. Previously Chan was a partner in the Los Angeles Office of Perkins Coie LLP; Of Counsel from 1996 to 2002; and maintained a Los Angeles office to service her Los Angeles-area clients.
- **Ellen Jakovic** is of counsel in Kirkland’s Antitrust Practice Group. Prior to 2021, Jakovic was a partner and headed the firm’s Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act (HSR) filing practice.
- **Daniel Rodriguez** is the Harold Washington Professor at Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law. From 2012 to 2018, he served as the dean of the law school (and, with that, was an ex officio member of the ABF Board of Directors).
- **Hon. Diane Wood** is the Chief Judge of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. She has served on the court since 1995. She is also a Senior Lecturer in Law at the University of Chicago Law School, where she teaches in the areas of federal civil procedure, antitrust law, and international trade and business.

Continuing the Commitment to the Next Generation of Scholars
In the fall, the American Bar Foundation welcomed five new emerging scholars from a highly competitive applicant pool as 2021-22 doctoral and postdoctoral fellows. The new doctoral/postdoctoral fellows are: **Brandon Alston**, ABF/Northwestern University (NU) Doctoral Fellow in Law and Social Science; **Isabel Anadon**,
ABF/National Science Foundation (NSF) Doctoral Fellow in Law and Inequality; Hardeep Dhillon, ABF/NSF Post-Doctoral Fellow in Law and Inequality; Sonya Rao, ABF/AccessLex Institute Post-Doctoral Fellow in Legal and Higher Education; Alex Reiss-Sorokin, ABF/AccessLex Institute Doctoral Fellow in Legal and Higher Education. These fellows join those returning for their second fellowship year: Kumar Ramanathan, ABF/NU Doctoral Fellow in Law and Social Science; Amber Joy Powell, ABF/NSF Doctoral Fellow in Law and Inequality; and Charquia Wright, ABF/AccessLex Institute Post-doctoral Fellow in Legal and Higher Education.

“The ABF is proud to continue to identify and mentor the next generation of scholars and their significant research in the areas of law, social science, and higher education,” said Mehrotra. “We are grateful to our many partners who help us make these fellowships possible.”

In the summer, the American Bar Foundation awarded five outstanding undergraduate students the Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship (SURF) in Law and Social Science for their exemplary work throughout their undergraduate careers. The 2021 Fellows, selected among a highly competitive group of applicants, were: Natasha Chaiyarat, Brandeis University; Laura Fagbemi, Rice University; Connor Herbert, University of Cincinnati; Nicole Maria Mateo, University of Chicago; and Sasha Matsuki, Indiana University.

Also known as the Montgomery Summer Research Diversity Fellowship Program, SURF allows undergraduate students from underrepresented groups who are considering careers in the field of law and/or social science to partake in a hands-on learning experience for eight weeks during the summer. Each Fellow works closely with an ABF Research Professor on the design and implementation of a research project, affording them the opportunity to be mentored by and take part in sociolegal research with experienced scholars.

While the students work primarily as research assistants, they also attend a series of seminars and forums conducted by ABF faculty, program alumni, and legal professionals, who acquaint the students with their research and work. Due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, the 2021 Fellows worked virtually throughout the summer.

The SURF program is supported by generous grants from the Kenneth F. and Harle G. Montgomery Foundation, the Law School Admission Council (LSAC), Walmart, Kirkland & Ellis, LLP, Banner Witcoff, and AT&T.

“We are deeply grateful to the individuals and institutions that have supported this important pipeline program since its inception,” said Mehrotra. “It is because of these partners that the ABF is able to continue its mission to foster the next generation of scholars who can be the catalysts for broader diversity, equity, and inclusion in the legal profession and social science research.”

From above left: Natasha Chaiyarat, Laura Fagbemi, Connor Herbert, Nicole Maria Mateo, and Sasha Matsuki
On May 31, 2021, the American Bar Foundation (ABF) hosted the Suites, Streets, and States Conference and Symposium Celebrating the Research of John Hagan. The ABF sponsored the event along with the Department of Sociology, Northwestern University, and the Global Justice Lab, University of Toronto.

John L. Hagan is the John D. MacArthur Professor of Sociology and Law at Northwestern University and a Research Professor here at the American Bar Foundation. He is a leading figure in criminology, research on state violence and genocide, and the legal profession. To honor Professor’s Hagan’s extensive research and long history of publication, this first-ever conference was organized by Traci Burch of the American Bar Foundation and Northwestern University, Ron Levi, of the University of Toronto, and Robert Nelson of the American Bar Foundation and Northwestern University.

The conference was divided into four sessions, with presenters from across the country:

**Plenary**
- Swethaa Ballakrishnen “Rethinking Inclusion: New Capitals, Extensions, and Directions in Legal Profession Research”
- Traci Burch “Effects of Police Violence on Voter Turnout”
- Jens Meierhenrich “Toward a Sociology of International Law: John Hagan and Beyond”

**Session 1: Suites**
**Moderator**—Shaun Ossei-Owusu
- Fiona Kay “Law Firm Culture and The Revolving Door for Women Lawyers”
- Ronit Dinovitzer and Meghan Dawe “Assaults on Worth and Professional Exit: Explaining Systemic Inequalities in the Legal Profession across Two National Contexts”
- Heather Schoenfeld “When the Suites take on Crime in the Streets: Corporate Foundations and Criminal Justice Reform”
- Ron Gillis, John Hagan, Steven Cook, and Detelina Radoeva “Institutionalizing Men, Women, and Mental Illness in la Belle Époque”

**Session 2: Streets**
**Moderator**—Robert J. Sampson
- Bill McCarthy and Jared Joseph “Who are the Criminals? Two Decades of Profit Through California Asset Forfeiture”
- Simon Singer “The Power to Deny Adolescence: Juvenile Lifers as Criminally Responsible Adults”

**Session 3: States**
**Moderator**—Marjorie Zatz
- Wenona Rymond-Richmond “Children of War Resisters: Intergenerational Transmission of Social and Political Activism”
- Joachim Savelsberg and Brooke Chambers “Darfur Model of Genocide and Judicial Representations”
- Aliza Luft “Dehumanization as Cause, not Consequence, of Violence in Genocide”
- Nachmann Ben-Yehuda “Development of Atrocities in Submarine Warfare”
All conference presenters: Swethaa Ballakrishnen, UC Irvine; Nachman Ben-Yehuda, Hebrew University of Jerusalem; Hollie Brehm, Ohio State University; Traci Burch, American Bar Foundation, Northwestern University; Brooke Chambers, University of Minnesota; Steven Cook, University of Michigan; Meghan Dawe, The American Bar Foundation; Ronit Dinovitzer, University of Toronto; Holly Foster, Texas A&M University; Jess Garcia, Texas A&M University; Evelyn Gertz, Ohio State University; Ron Gillis, University of Toronto; Jared Joseph, UC Davis; Fiona Kay, Queen’s University; Aliza Luft, UC Los Angeles; Bill McCarthy, Rutgers University; Jens Meierhenrich, London School of Economics; Robert Nelson, American Bar Foundation, Northwestern University; Shaun Ossei-Owusu, University of Pennsylvania; Detelina Radoeva, York University; Wenona Rymond-Richmond, University of Massachusetts; Robert J. Sampson, Harvard University; Joachim Savelsberg, University of Minnesota; Heather Schoenfeld, Boston University; Simon Singer, Northeastern University; Christopher Uggen, University of Minnesota; Alexandra Yakes, University of Minnesota; Marina Zaloznaya, University of Iowa; Marjorie Zatz, UC Merced; Ángela Zorro Medina, University of Chicago

Clockwise from above left: John Hagan; Heather Schoenfeld, Boston University; Robert J. Sampson, Harvard University; Ángela Zorro Medina, University of Chicago; Aliza Luft, University of California, Los Angeles
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Portrait Project 2.0
Asian Americans are a growing presence in all sectors of the legal profession. They work in BigLaw and in smaller firms and solo practice, and as government attorneys, corporate counsel, prosecutors, public defenders, judges, and more. But they fall short in attaining leadership positions and have the highest attrition rates from major law firms. Research has not substantially studied the many ways in which Asian American lawyers and law students struggle and thrive, and the unique incentives and constraints that shape their career paths.

With pioneering support from National Asian Pacific American Bar Association (NAPABA) and Yale Law School, the first phase of the 2017 Portrait Project study resulted in a widely circulated report that revealed that while Asian Americans have entered every facet of the legal profession, they are underrepresented in top leadership positions across all sectors.

A new Portrait Project 2.0 study of law student demographics provides a comprehensive analysis of recent U.S. law school enrollment trends since the economic downturn and financial crisis of the late 2000s. Who’s Going to Law School? Trends in Law School Enrollment Since the Great Recession (UC Davis Law Review), is authored by Miranda Li, Phillip Yao, and California Supreme Court Justice Goodwin Liu.

The study’s findings include the following:

• Total enrollment in law school has declined by almost 25% since the recession. Despite a recent increase, enrollment seems unlikely to rebound to the pre-recession levels.

• Women have outnumbered men in law school since 2016, and the recent uptick in total enrollment is entirely attributable to more women pursuing law.

• Since the Great Recession, Asian Americans and Whites have comprised a smaller share of enrollment in law schools. Asian American enrollment in particular has declined more steeply than any other group since the Great Recession. After rising for four decades, the number of Asian American lawyers is projected to stagnate beginning in 2030.

• African Americans and Hispanics have also comprised a larger share of enrollment since the Great Recession. However, women, African American students, and Hispanic students are disproportionately enrolled in lower-ranked schools with lower rates of bar passage and post-graduation employment. As a result, it is unclear to what extent the changing diversity of law students will translate into greater diversity in the legal profession.

• The number and percentage of multiracial students and international students in the J.D. program have grown. These trends have particular salience for Asians and Asian Americans, and they present increasingly serious challenges for collecting and reporting demographic data.

In 2021, the second publication for this project, Sociolegal Research, the Law School Survey of Student Engagement, and Studying Diversity in Judicial Clerkships, was published in the Journal of Legal Education. In December 2021, the researchers presented preliminary findings from their diversity and judicial clerkship study at the annual conference hosted by the National Asian and Pacific American Bar Association (NAPABA). In the previous year, the researchers published the first article based on this project, “Who’s Going to Law School: Trends in Law School Enrollment Since the Great Recession” in the UC Davis Law Review.

This project is supported by the National Asian Pacific American Bar Association (NAPABA), Asian American Bar Association–Greater Bay Area, Asian Pacific American Bar Association–Silicon Valley, the Asian American Law Fund of New York, Northwestern Pritzker School of Law, Oscar M. Ruebhausen Fund at Yale Law School, Davis & Polk, Arnold & Porter, Latham and Watkins, Davis Wright Tremaine, Haynes and Boone, Silvia Chin, and anonymous donations.
The Future of Latinos
Inaugural ABF Neukom Chair Rachel F. Moran and ABF Research Professor Robert L. Nelson co-direct the major research and planning initiative, The Future of Latinos in the United States: Law, Opportunity, and Mobility. The Future of Latinos is a nation-wide, interdisciplinary project dedicated to understanding and advancing research on:

- The current condition of Latinx community in the United States.
- The structural barriers that impede full equality and integration for this emerging population.
- The sites of intervention that promise to be most effective in promoting opportunity and mobility through law and policy.

Since its launch in 2015, the initiative has convened leading national policy makers and experts for a series of national roundtable events focused on identifying existing research and resources, understanding social and legal barriers to opportunity, developing reform recommendations that support full integration and inclusion, and cultivating a new generation of young Latinx leaders.

In 2020, the University of California, Irvine became the campus home for the Future of Latinos research project. In the fall of 2020, UC Irvine hosted a book talk series, “Reimagining the Latinx Experience in America,” which pushes attendees to think about the realities—past and present—of Latinx people in the U.S. and how the future may look different, including better access to justice, resources, and opportunities.

After the JD Study
The ABF has long been recognized as the leading source of research on legal education and the profession. One of the ABF’s hallmark projects in this area is After the JD (AJD), the first national study of legal careers. AJD is following a large national sample of lawyers admitted to the bar in 2000 over the first decade-plus of their careers, providing a unique source of information on the changing nature of legal careers. The study design was longitudinal: the first cohort of lawyers was surveyed in 2002, the second in 2007, and the third in 2012. Analysis of this rich sample continues.

ABF Research Professor Robert Nelson, ABF-affiliated scholar Ioana Sendroiu, ABF Faculty Fellow Ronit Dinovtizer, and ABF Research Social Scientist Meghan Dawe recently published the article “Perceiving Discrimination: Race, Gender, and Sexual Orientation in the Legal Workplace” in Law & Social Inquiry. The article analyzed survey responses from the After the JD study and found that perceived discrimination on the basis of race, gender, and sexual orientation remains pervasive in the legal workplace despite efforts of bar leaders to eliminate discrimination from the legal profession. Findings from the article include:

- Attorneys of color, white women, and LGBTQ attorneys perceive high levels of workplace bias compared to white male attorneys and to respondents in other workplace studies.
- Female attorneys in every racial and ethnic group reported higher levels of discrimination than their male counterparts, ranging from 50% of African American women to 30% of white women.
- 42% of African American male attorneys reported workplace discrimination. Studies of African American workers across all occupations find that 28% report discrimination at work.
- LGBTQ men were nearly twice as likely as non-LGBTQ men to report discrimination at 29% vs. 16%.

A book based on findings from the After the JD project, The Making of Lawyer Careers: Inequality and Opportunity in the American Legal Profession, is under contract with the University of Chicago Press. In September 2020, ABF researchers published “Mind the Gap: Gender Pay Disparities in the Legal Academy” in the Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics. This article shared findings from the After Tenure survey (a subset of data from the After the JD project). It revealed evidence of gendered earnings disparities among tenured law professors that is particularly acute for women of color and demonstrates how these disparities stem from the differential valuation of human capital.

The Rise of Lawyer Activism in China
Following their earlier research on the lawyers and defense of basic legal freedoms in China, ABF Research Professor Terence C. Halliday and ABF Faculty Fellow Sida Liu’s current project addresses the question: how does an international legal complex shape struggles over basic legal freedoms within China? The present moment may be a critical historical juncture for China and in China’s relations with the United States and other states, institutions and publics. This project is creating an empirically grounded understanding of activism to influence legal change within China and China’s role as an actor within international arenas to shape global norms and practices on legal rights and freedoms. The project collects and analyzes three bodies of new data from 2015-2024 with a dedicated focus on international legal organizations, civil society and governance.
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• Archival and documentary analysis of international organizations and international governance institutions;
• Interviews with state and non-state actors in international arenas; and
• Participant observation in civil society and governance settings. The project has major salience for legal rights in China, for national and international policy-making on China, and for international civil society and publics.

The Financing of Legal Education
The American Bar Association’s Task Force on Legal Education examined the student costs associated with legal education, specifically educational debt and financial aid and scholarships. The task force’s consultant and reporter, ABF Research Professor Stephen Daniels, has been continuing the work of the task force by analyzing existing data and collecting additional materials on the changing dynamics of legal education. The project’s objective has three main components:
• Explore questions on patterns and changes surrounding the financing of legal education over time, including enrollment, applications, tuition, and employment outcomes for graduates.
• Gather student-level data from the annual LSSSE (Law School Survey of Student Engagement) surveys and other sources to explore patterns and changes related to students and their views on legal education, key services provided by schools, and information on students themselves.
• Gather information from law school websites and other sources to explore recent changes and innovations in response to the changing law school environment.

Senior Status, Gender, and Race in the Legal and Liberal Arts Academies
This research, led by ABF Research Professor Elizabeth Mertz, is the first in-depth examination of the lives of post-tenure law professors in the United States. Post-tenure law professors play an important role in the American legal system by directing the initial screening and training of lawyers. Legal academics can also directly affect the conceptualization of national and local legal issues through their scholarship, or through their own personal involvement as advocates, judges, or government officials. In addition to its contribution to our knowledge of law professors, the study also speaks to a larger body of literature on both the legal profession and the academy. The study involved a national survey of over 1,000 of these professors and follow-up interviews with 100 of the survey participants.
In their analysis of the experiences of these tenured law professors, Mertz and her colleagues have found that:
• Nearly 39% of U.S. tenured law professors teach in the 50 top-ranked law schools in the country, as compared to the remaining three tiers (comprising 129 additional schools). Most law professors (60%) teach in private institutions.
• Educational levels of the mothers of professors of color and white women tended to be higher than those of white men.
• The vast majority of professors reported feeling respected and comfortable in their teaching positions, with 96% feeling respected by students and 98% feeling comfortable in the classroom.
• Despite the fact that most tenured law professors expressed overall satisfaction with their work lives, female professors and professors of color reported differentially negative experiences.

Protecting Rights, Accessing Justice
Science and the Legal System
ABF Research Professor Shari Seidman Diamond’s research with Richard O. Lempert considers the relationship between scientists and engineers and the legal system. More specifically, this project seeks to understand how scientists have experienced their interactions with the legal system. Surveys and in-depth interviews have yielded a wealth of findings, including the following:
• Most of the scientists and engineers surveyed agreed to participate in lawsuits when asked, more often for educational and moral reasons than financial.
• If the scientists and engineers refused to participate in lawsuits when asked, it was mainly because they lacked the time or relevant expertise.
• Some of the scientists and engineers reported being uncomfortable with adversarial legal proceedings and would be more likely to participate in the future if procedural changes were made.
—These changes include having the opportunity to meet with an opposing trial expert and write a mutual report and being able to serve as an expert appointed by the judge instead of on behalf of a certain legal party.
Constructing Feminized Courts and Carceral Institutions: Gender, the Legal Regulation of Race, and the Making of Modern Criminal Justice

The study, led by ABF Research Professor Tera Agyepong, focuses on the twentieth-century emergence of women’s courts and carceral institutions for girls and will elucidate the ways in which gender has shaped racialized processes of criminalization in Illinois and New York. By exploring the advent of these institutions alongside the ideals that guided their institutional practices, this project will shed light on the kinds of local-level processes that undergird the modern criminal justice system.


Racial/Ethnic Inequalities in Paternal Imprisonment, Investment in Families and Schools, and Child Educational Inequality

For at least three decades, African American parents and their adolescent children have been at disproportionate risk of being imprisoned and removed from their families and communities. Some of these parents and children are now slowly returning to society. The primary goal of this research is to increase understanding of processes leading into and out of the exclusionary traps of mass incarceration experienced by these parents and children.

In December 2020, ABF Research Professor John Hagan presented a Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences Distinguished Lecture at the National Science Foundation on “A Tale Half Told: State Investment in Prisons and Schools, Criminalization of Parents & Adolescent Children, and Child Educational Attainment.” In March 2021, Hagan and ABF Affiliated Scholar and Professor at Texas A&M University, Holly Foster, were awarded an NSF grant in support of this project. The overall grant objectives are directly related to continuing research on the effects of parental incarceration on both parents and their children’s socio-economic outcomes over the life course, with particular attention to race and ethnicity.

Consent to Sex on Campus: How Undergraduates Understand and Enact Sexual Consent in the Title IX Era

ABF Research Professor Laura Beth Nielsen is studying the impact (if any) of the Obama-era “Dear Colleague” (DCL) letter on undergraduates at universities, as they relate to drinking, drugs, and sexual activity. The project combines a large-scale quantitative analysis of Title IX compliance policies at a national, random sample of universities, along with a large-scale, in-person interview phase with undergraduates at five college campuses in the Midwest about Title IX compliance regarding sexual assault among undergraduates. The study builds on Nielsen’s previous scholarly work investigating the dissemination of information about civil rights, how organizations respond when they are responsible for enforcement, the institutional structures that interfere or complement achieving the goals of the civil rights movement, and, most importantly, how, if at all, ordinary people think about, integrate, and ignore law as they go about their daily life.

In 2021, this project moved into analysis phase of the data collected from more than 150 interviews at seven schools in three states. The project received a grant from the National Science Foundation, with Nielsen listed as the sole project investigator. Finally, Nielsen was named the president-elect of the Law and Society Association.

Tenant Screening and Fair Housing Law in the Information Age

This project by ABF Research Professor Anna Reosti contains two extensions of a larger study of tenant screening and fair housing law in the information age. The first extension investigates the costs of housing searches for renters with criminal conviction records, past evictions and/or damaged credit histories. Findings highlight the significant economic, social and health-related costs associated not only with the substandard housing options that renters with stigmatizing background records are relegated to, but with the housing search process itself. Another collaborative project, with coauthor Kyle Crowder, University of Washington, explores how landlords in Seattle understand and adapt to new regulations, using in-depth interviews, focus groups and a large-scale survey conducted during the lead-up to, and following the enactment of, multiple laws governing tenant screening and move-in fees. It investigates the less-visible ways landlords shape the meaning and effects of new laws through their ground-level practices.

Regulating the Crisis, Phase I: An exploratory study of landlord responses to pandemic-era tenant protection regulations

ABF Research Professor Anna Reosti examines how landlords are impacting the implementation and effects of pro-tenant regulations during the pandemic though their ground-level business practices and interactions with tenants. The initial phase of this study will investigate how landlords are responding to the immediate challenges of the pandemic for the rental industry; namely missed rental payments and a changing regulatory environment. Phase I of the project will draw on in-depth interviews with a diverse group of Chicago area landlords and other rental industry agents, housing advocates and policymakers, and distressed renters.

In July 2021, Reosti was awarded a grant in support of this project from the Urban Institute Housing Crisis Research Collaborative. Her paper, “The Impact of COVID-19 on Small Rental Property Management: Insights from a Chicago Case Study” (with Allison Helmuth, University of Illinois-Chicago), was published by the ABF in December 2021. Their research revealed how shifts in rental management practices on the part of small-scale landlords seeking to recoup COVID-related losses and insulate themselves from future financial risks threatens the viability of this rental sector and its traditional role in the provision of relatively affordable, low-barrier housing for disadvantaged tenants. Policy interventions like those proposed by Reosti and Helmuth may help stabilize these rental housing providers and thereby improve access to safe, stable and affordable rental housing.

Civil Rights: An American History

ABF Research Professor Chris Schmidt’s book project offers a history of how Americans have struggled over the meaning of the term “civil rights” from the Civil War through today. It provides a historical case study of how the words and categories by which we understand our world become objects of contestation and points of leverage for social, political, and legal action.


Violated: Understanding Structural Racism Through the Property Tax Foreclosure Crisis in Detroit

ABF Research Professor Bernadette Atuahene links the unconsented taking of property right with the deprivation of dignity. Among other things, the research aims to further understand the relationship between property and dignity and extends the analysis to the case of squatting. Using the concept of a “dignity taking,” linking the unconsented taking of property rights with the deprivation of dignity which Atuahene has explored previously, this research project seeks to further understand the relationship between property and dignity.

This project is a deep examination of the causes and consequences of the property tax assessment crisis in Detroit. It will analyze interview data, conduct additional interviews, and engage in participant observation surrounding the tax foreclosure crisis in Detroit and a possible compensation program offered by the City. The proposal is centered around Professor Atuahene’s concept of dignity takings and restoration as well as scholarship about procedural justice. The project has the potential to advance the frontiers of knowledge about law, legal practice, and law’s impact on society and to help advance justice.

Legal Tech for Non-Lawyers

ABF Faculty Fellow Rebecca Sandefur leads the ABF’s Access to Justice research initiative. Her latest report, Legal Tech for Non-Lawyers: Report of the Survey of U.S. Legal Technologies, examines an expansive list of digital tools that help a range of users to act on a legal problem. Legal Tech for Non-Lawyers assesses how legal technology tools can assist people who do not practice law in dealing with legal challenges, with a focus on bridging the access to justice gap for low-income communities and others who have restricted access to law and legal services. Her findings reveal:

- Over 320 digital legal tools for nonlawyer users exist for US jurisdictions, offering assistance with a range of both criminal (e.g., arrest, police stops, expungement) and civil (e.g., family, housing, health, employment) justice problems.
- Just over half of the tools (52%) assist the user in taking some action on a justice problem, such as producing a legal document, compiling evidence, diagnosing a legal problem, or resolving a dispute.
- Using many of the tools requires resources or capabilities that some groups and communities...
are unlikely to have. The same groups often unable to access traditional lawyer assistance—such as people with low incomes, racial minorities, and people with lower levels of education—are also less likely to be able to use digital tools.

Sandefur conducted the study with the assistance of Alice Chang, Taemesha Hyder, Sajid Khurram, Elizabeth Prete, Matthew Schneider, and Noah Tate. Funding for the Survey came from the Open Society Foundations.

**Employment Discrimination**

Research conducted by ABF Research Professors Laura Beth Nielsen and Robert L. Nelson, with ABF Affiliated Scholar and University of Toronto Sociologist Ellen Berrey, illustrates how employment civil rights litigation entrenches patterns of discrimination in and out of the workplace. Though significant legislative and judicial progress has been made, workplace discrimination based on race, gender, age, and disability persists.

The research reveals the ways that employment civil rights litigation can underscore existing systems of privilege. The research exposes how many plaintiffs struggle to obtain a lawyer as a result of structural inequalities and lawyer biases. The investigators found:

- 23% of workplace discrimination cases are filed without a lawyer, or pro se. Cases filed pro se are dismissed at a rate of 40%, compared to 11% for cases with attorneys.
- African Americans are 2.5 times more likely than white plaintiffs to file employment discrimination cases pro se. Other racial minorities, including Hispanics and Asians, are 1.9 times more likely to file pro se than their white counterparts.
- Lack of information about the legal system, lack of trust in lawyers and their motives, and lack of time and resources to go through the arduous process of searching for a lawyer are all “bottom up” factors that contribute to the disparity in representation.

**Parental Incarceration**

Research carried out at the ABF examined the effects of mass incarceration on individuals, families and communities. With funding from the National Science Foundation, ABF Research Professor John Hagan engaged in a multi-phase research project examining the social effects of mass incarceration and the impact of parental incarceration on children. According to Hagan’s research, approximately 700,000 inmates return to their families and communities from prison and half of these former prison inmates reentering society are parents.

The project revealed:

- More than 3 million American children have an incarcerated parent.
- The overall U.S. college graduation rate of 40% drops to 1-2% among children of mothers who are imprisoned and to about 15% for children of imprisoned fathers.
- Even if their own parents are not imprisoned, when children go to schools where 10-20% of other parents are imprisoned, the college graduation rate drops by half.

**Policing and Political Participation**

Despite the prominence of policing and police misconduct on the national agenda, scholars still know very little about the extent to which police act in ways that are contrary to the public good and public safety. Primarily, this lack of information stems from a lack of data and analytical techniques that can be used to examine policing. This project by ABF Research Professor Traci Burch addresses this important dearth of information and will develop measures of problem policing over a series of papers and attempt to examine the effects of problem policing on voter turnout and political protest. It will result in a book manuscript and will be the first to attempt to measure multiple forms of problematic policing and to examine their effects both separately and simultaneously.

In a forthcoming paper, Burch identifies two key factors surrounding an incident necessary to shape political interest: visibility and framing. Understanding the effect of visibility and framing on political interest is critical for evaluating the public’s likelihood of holding politicians, police chiefs, and others responsible for the police department’s problems. Burch argues that the failure to contemplate both visibility and framing of officer-involved killings can lead researchers to miss critical political effects in these cases.

Burch’s article, “Not All Black Lives Matter: Officer-Involved Deaths and the Role of Victim Characteristics in Shaping Political Interest and Voter Turnout,” was published in Perspectives on Politics in December 2021.

**The Probative Versus Prejudicial Effect of Gruesome Photographs in Court**

Lawyers, judges, and juries are faced with a barrage of evidence and arguments displayed in visual form—sometimes gruesome in nature. Advances in handheld video technology have made it commonplace for accidents and crimes to be visually recorded. In the past decade, the use of visual evidence and arguments
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during legal proceedings has exploded, but empirical research on the effects of these tools for visual meaning making has barely begun. Trials have always been battles over competing stories, but now these stories are being told through displays on courtroom screens. Courtroom images can influence beliefs, emotions, and judgments in ways that have never been empirically examined. This project will investigate how these emotionally evocative modes of visual evidence can affect the psychology of jurors’ decision-making processes, through influence on emotions, attention to evidence, and legal judgments at the individual and group level.

ABF Research Professor Janice Nadler and her colleagues are undertaking a set of experiments that represents a theoretically driven and nuanced evaluation of how and why emotionally evocative photographs affect guilt and punishment judgments. Nadler and her team will:

- Examine the extent to which gruesome photographs (as opposed to verbal descriptions or neutral photographs) rouse negative emotion, causing jurors to pay more selective attention to case evidence that is consistent with their emotions and away from evidence that is not consistent with them.
- Test legal safeguards such as substituting black and white photographs.
- Examine the practice of instructing the jury on the potentially prejudicial influence of photographs.
- Examine the dynamic of group deliberation.

Advanced directives are not followed for a variety of reasons, including:
— the directive not being in the patient’s chart.
— the directive not accurately reflecting the patient’s wishes.
— the directive being too abstract to provide meaningful guidance.
— the surrogate decision makers not following the directive.

At present, given the limitations of advance directives, the best protection for potential patients is to hold ongoing conversations with a family member who is designated to be aware of the patient’s wishes and to honor them.

Rule of Law and Discourse

‘Rule of law’ is a concept and category that is incessantly invoked in the international public domain. At different times and in different hands, a range of different meanings has attached to ‘rule of law.’ In response to the impact of the post-9/11 rule of law, this project led by ABF Research Professor Jothie Rajah includes both doctrinal and non-doctrinal articulations of meanings, values, and relations for rule of law. In 2019, Rajah worked on a forthcoming monograph, Reading for Law in a Post-9/11 World, which is driven by a protective and passionate concern for rule of law as a legal, social, and political ideal. Reading for Law examines both standard legal text—legislation—as well as non-doctrinal texts to illuminate legal meanings, relations, and values that are being constructed and disseminated in ways that tend to evade critical attention. In particular, it is motivated by the striking contrast in visibility that marks the events of 9/11 and the visible legal response.

In 2022, Rajah will publish Discounting Life: Law, Culture, and the Long War on Terror (Cambridge University Press). This book examines how extrajudicial, extraterritorial killings of War on Terror enemies by the U.S. state have become the new normal. Alongside targeted individuals, unnamed and uncounted others die and are maimed. Despite the absence of law’s conventional sites, processes, and actors, the U.S. state celebrates these killings as the realization of ‘justice’. Meanwhile, images, narrative, and affect do the work of law; authorizing and legitimizing the discounting of some lives so that others—implicitly, American nationals—may live. How then, as we live through this unending, globalized war, are we to make sense of law in relation to the valuing of life? Adopting an interdisciplinary approach to law and interrogating the U.S. state’s
justifications for the project of counterterror, this book’s temporal arc, the long War on Terror, illuminates the profound continuities and many guises for racialized, imperial violence informing the contemporary discounting of life.

Legal Defenses Against Democratic Decline

In this research, ABF Research Professor Tom Ginsburg and his University of Chicago Law School colleague Aziz Huq explore how democracies erode and how to better uphold democratic values by drawing on historic lessons and recent experiences of democratic decline worldwide. Their co-authored book, *How to Save a Constitutional Democracy*, investigates the two main pathways that can lead a nation away from democracy:

- Authoritarian collapse, which is defined as the sudden and complete collapse into an authoritarian form of government.
- Democratic erosion, which occurs when the rule of law, individual rights and competitive elections decay slowly over time.

The book offers a wealth of examples from around the world to demonstrate how, in practice, constitutional rules can both deter and accelerate decline. From Latin America to Eastern Europe, democracies have been susceptible to a single political party that eliminates political competition or would-be autocrats who have found ways to manipulate the system by undermining the checks and balances of the federal government, a robust civil society and media and individual rights.

Using these examples, the authors mount an urgent argument against complacency and for constitutional reform. They make the case that while the U.S. Constitution has endured for centuries, it does not contain the necessary provisions to slow down any potential autocrat or eroding political competition that is bent on dismantling the republic.


A Comparative History of U.S. Resistance to the Value-added Tax

This project, led by ABF Executive Director and Research Professor Ajay K. Mehrotra, seeks to explore how and why the United States has historically rejected broad-based national consumption taxes. Nearly all developed, industrialized countries, and many in the developing world, have a national consumption tax in the form of a Value-added Tax (VAT), except for the United States. This project focuses on the research question: why no VAT in the United States?

In addressing this question, this project explores three key historical periods:

- The 1920s, when tax theorists in the United States and Germany first began to conceptualize, formulate and propose crude forms of value-added taxes.
- The decades of the mid-twentieth century when the United States seriously considered but rejected national consumption taxes aimed at raising revenue for World War II. Similarly, after the war, during the U.S. occupation of Japan, American economic experts designed and implemented a proto-VAT for Japan that was adopted for a short period.
- During the 1970s and ‘80s American lawmakers considered and even supported a U.S. VAT, but eventually withdrew their support or were ousted from political office for recommending a VAT. At the same time, other developed countries, such as Japan and Canada, began to move towards a national VAT.

By focusing on these three key historical periods from a comparative perspective, this project seeks to study how and why the U.S. has failed to adopt national consumption taxes, like the VAT.
Research Faculty

**Tera Agyepong**  
J.D./Ph.D., Northwestern University School of Law, Department of African American Studies  
**Joint Appointment:** Associate Professor of Legal History and African American History, DePaul University  
**Research Interests:** Intersection of race, gender, history, and the law. Research addresses how historical processes of constructing race and gender have shaped the evolution of criminal and juvenile justice laws.  
**Current ABF Project:**  
**Gender, the Legal Regulation of Race, and the Making of Modern Criminal Justice**  
The study, which focuses on the twentieth-century emergence of women’s courts and carceral institutions for girls, will elucidate the ways in which gender has shaped racialized processes of criminalization in Illinois and New York. By exploring the advent of these institutions alongside the ideals that guided their institutional practices, this project will shed light on the kinds of local-level processes that undergird the modern criminal justice system.

**Bernadette Atuahene**  
J.D., Yale Law School;  
M.P.A., Harvard University  
**Joint Appointment:** Professor, ITT Chicago-Kent College of Law  
**Research Interests:** Law and international development, particularly the dispossession and restitution of property rights in the developing world. Research has examined the challenges faced by transitional democracies that provide compensation for past property dispossession, as well as how land titling programs can best address urban poverty.  
**Current ABF Project:**  
**Violated: Understanding Structural Racism through the Property Tax Foreclosure Crisis in Detroit**  
This project is a deep examination of the causes and consequences of the property tax assessment crisis in Detroit. It will analyze interview data, conduct interviews, and engage in participant observation surrounding the tax foreclosure crisis in Detroit and a possible compensation program offered by Detroit. The proposal is centered around Professor Atuahene’s concept of dignity takings and restoration as well as scholarship about procedural justice. The project began in 2016 when the researcher received a National Science Foundation (NSF) grant to study squatters in Detroit in the aftermath of the great recession. The project has the potential to advance the frontiers of knowledge about law, legal practice, and law’s impact on society and to help advance justice.

**Traci Burch**  
Ph.D., Government and Social Policy, Harvard University  
**Joint Appointment:** Associate Professor of Political Science, Northwestern University  
**Research Interests:** U.S. criminal justice system, political behavior, and structural inequality.  
**Current ABF Project:**  
**Policing and Political Participation**  
Despite the prominence of policing and police misconduct on the national agenda, scholars still know little about the extent to which police act in ways that are contrary to the public good and public safety. Primarily, this lack of information stems from a lack of data and analytical techniques that can be used to examine policing. This project addresses this important dearth of information and will develop measures of problem policing over a series of papers and attempt to examine the effects of problem policing on voter turnout and political protest. Burch will identify and collect existing data on policing in order to develop measures of “problem policing.” This project will be the first to attempt to measure multiple forms of problematic policing and to examine their effects both separately and simultaneously.
Stephen Daniels
Ph.D., Political Science, University of Wisconsin

Research Interests: Law and public policy, legal education, the legal profession, and the American civil justice system. Research has addressed innovation in legal education, the delivery of legal services, civil juries, trial courts, plaintiffs’ lawyers, and the politics of civil justice reform—including the areas of medical malpractice, products liability, and punitive damages.

Current ABF Projects:
Alternative Legal Professionals
The lack of access to competent legal assistance because of the absence of resources, social capital, awareness, or because of geographic distance is described as a justice gap. The existence of this gap is uncontested, and the gap is wide. In response, bar groups, the courts, and academic commentators are giving increasing attention to a range of access-enhancing innovations all of which share a greater role for nonlawyers. This project explores the recent diffusion of one of those innovations, an especially important one, Washington State’s Limited Licensed Legal Practitioner Program.

The Financing of Legal Education
This project follows up on and expands the work of the 2014-15 American Bar Association Task Force on the Financing of Legal Education (Daniels served as the reporter and consultant for the Task Force). It does so by fully analyzing the data collected as a part of the Task Force’s work in combination with additional relevant data and materials relevant to the challenges facing legal education. Like the Task Force itself, this project takes seriously the need to mine and analyze the best available data relevant to the challenges facing legal education—not just financing. This project has been supported by a grant from Access/Lex Institute.

Prosecutors and Law Students: An Opportunity Project (w. S-C. Chien)
Working with Fair and Just Prosecutions (an organization working with newly elected reform prosecutors) this project explores law student motivations for attending law school, their interest in criminal law, and their interest in working as prosecutors—all at a fraught time for the criminal justice system. It is especially interested in experiential learning opportunities for students as a way of enhancing interest in criminal law and working as a prosecutor. It involves survey data on students along with interviews with law school clinicians and with prosecutors’ offices.

Meera E. Deo
William H. Neukom Fellows Research Chair in Diversity and Law (2020-21); Ph.D., Sociology, University of California, Los Angeles; J.D., University of Michigan Law School

Joint Appointment: The Honorable Vaino Spencer Professor of Law at Southwestern Law School and Director of the Law School Survey of Student Engagement (LSLSE)

Research Interests: Legal education, institutional diversity, and affirmative action.

Current ABF Project:
Pandemic Effects on Legal Academia
Deo is expanding on research from her book, Unequal Profession: Race and Gender in Legal Academia. The book reveals barriers women of color face as law professors and outlines individual strategies and structural solutions to these challenges. Deo has broadened her research to help law schools improve law faculty recruitment and retention. She is also investigating the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in exacerbating existing structural inequalities in legal academia.
Shari Seidman Diamond
Ph.D., Social Psychology, Northwestern University; J.D., University of Chicago
Joint Appointment: Howard J. Trienens Professor of Law and Professor of Psychology, Pritzker School of Law, Northwestern University
Research Interests: Legal decision-making, including conflicts between expertise and impartiality, discretion, and control; equality and individuation; and science and law. Research addresses how these conflicts influence jury and judicial decision-making, judgments about fairness, and how courts use and fail to make use of scientific evidence.
Current ABF Project:
Science and the Legal System: Phase Two (with Richard O. Lempert and Beth Murphy)
The legal system often, and increasingly, calls on scientists and engineers for assistance. Some commentary suggests that scientists regard the legal system with suspicion and discomfort. What stands in the way of effective engagement in law by high-quality scientists? What legal or policy changes would aid in overcoming those obstacles? This project provides the first systematic empirically grounded look at the sometimes-strained relationship between science and law. Phase Two of the project is building on the results from Phase One with two new surveys: one drawn from a more heterogeneous and representative sample of scientists and engineers, and one drawn from a listing of those who explicitly offer their services as experts in legal proceedings. As in the earlier surveys, we are probing requests, responses to requests, experience with the legal system, and assessments of how the legal system handles scientific evidence.

Tom Ginsburg
Ph.D., Jurisprudence and Social Policy, University of California, Berkeley; J.D., University of California, Berkeley School of Law
Joint Appointment: Leo Spitz Professor of Law and Professor of Political Science, University of Chicago
Research Interests: The drafting, design, and implementation of national constitutions; legal reform in Northeast Asia; international law; and judicial independence.
Current ABF Project:
Democracies and International Law: The Trials of Liberal Theory
This project evaluates the liberal theory of international law with empirical evidence. Liberal theory assumes that democratic societies will be more inclined than others to cooperate on the international plane, but we do not know much about whether this is the case. This project seeks to develop testable propositions from liberal theory, and then to test them by examining whether and how democracies actually do behave with regard to international legal institutions. It will also ask about the relationship between international institutions and democratic backsliding.
John Hagan
Ph.D., Sociology, University of Alberta

Joint Appointment: John D. MacArthur Professor of Sociology and Law, Northwestern University

Research interests: The intersection of international criminal law, war crimes, war resistance, mass incarceration, lawyers, and domestic criminality.

Current ABF Project:
Racial/Ethnic Inequalities in Paternal Imprisonment, Investment in Families and Schools, and Child Educational Inequality (with Holly Foster)

For at least three decades, African American parents and their adolescent children have been at disproportionate risk of being imprisoned and removed from their families and communities. Some of these parents and children are now slowly returning to society. The primary goal of this research is to increase understanding of processes leading into and out of the exclusionary traps of mass incarceration experienced by these parents and children. Labelling theorists have argued that below the surface of our culture there are subterranean traditions that encourage excitement, thrill-seeking, and risk-taking by advantaged White American youth who engage in less visible “party behavior” in more private, less public spaces. This contrasts with the consequences of the disproportionate and often disputed punishment of less advantaged African American youth for minor suspected drug offenses associated with police profiling and mass incarceration policies.

Terence Halliday
Ph.D., Sociology, University of Chicago

Joint Appointment: Adjunct Professor of Sociology, Northwestern University; Honorary Professor, School of Regulation and Global Governance, Australian National University

Research Interests: The globalization of law in markets and politics. Research on law and markets focuses on international trade law with special reference to the ways in which international trade organizations (such as UNCITRAL, UNIDROIT, and the Hague Conference on Private International Law) create global norms in such diverse areas as corporate bankruptcy law, maritime law, and secured transactions. Research on globalization and politics analyzes the support or resistance of the legal complex (e.g., lawyers, judges, prosecutors, law faculty) to the advance of political liberalism worldwide. The most recent project completed research on China’s criminal defense lawyers’ efforts to protect basic legal freedoms. A new project assesses how the legal complex and international organizations mobilize outside China to influence its adherence to global human rights norms.

Current ABF Project:
Lawyer Activism in China (with Sida Liu)

Following our earlier research on the lawyers and defense of basic legal freedoms in China, our current project addresses the question: how does an international legal complex shape struggles over basic legal freedoms within China? The present moment may be a critical historical juncture for China and in China’s relations with the United States and other states, institutions, and publics. This project is creating an empirically grounded understanding of activism to influence legal change within China and China’s role as an actor within international arenas to shape global norms and practices on legal rights and freedoms. The project collects and analyzes three bodies of new data from 2015-2024 with a dedicated focus on international legal organizations, civil society, and governance: (1) archival and documentary analysis of international organizations and international governance institutions; (2) interviews with state and non-state actors in international arenas; and (3) participant observation in civil society and governance settings. The project has major salience for legal rights in China, for national and international policymaking about China, and for international civil society and publics.
Carol A. Heimer
Ph.D., Sociology, University of Chicago
Joint Appointment: Professor of Sociology, Northwestern University
Research Interests: Sociology of law; global and transnational sociology. Research has focused on the relationship between law and other systems of rules and norms; the diffusion of more legalistic forms of governance to healthcare (HIV clinics, infant intensive care units); and the diffusion and adaptation of rules as they flow across boundaries.

Current ABF Project:
The Legal Transformation of Medicine: How Rules Work in the International World of HIV/AIDS
This book project braids together investigations of three transformative events—the “legalization” and globalization of medicine and the advent of HIV/AIDS—in a study of how laws, regulations and other rules are actually used in HIV research and treatment in the United States, Uganda, South Africa, and Thailand. It investigates what happens when laws, regulations, and guidelines, admittedly created with the best of intentions, are transported to new sites where they confront the realities of medical care, clinical research, and healthcare administration in developing countries—resource shortages, desperate patients, culturally-based miscommunications about ethical principles, discrepancies between first-world research designs and third-world research settings, as well as the mundane uncertainties typical of the encounter between medicine and human biology. The project combines an examination of what has happened at the level of national and international policymaking with a close look at how the plethora of laws, regulations, rules, guidelines, codes, protocols, frameworks, and standard operating procedures actually affect how healthcare workers do their jobs. The book manuscript is now under review at a major university press.

John P. Heinz
Research Professor Emeritus; LL.B., Yale University
Research Interests: The social structure of the legal profession, the political activity of lawyers, and interest group politics.
A leading scholar of the legal profession, former director of the ABF, and winner of the Harry J. Kalven, Jr. Award for Outstanding Scholarship in Law and Society, Professor Heinz has retired from teaching and research. He remains active in the ABF intellectual community and in Chicago civic and professional activities. He continues to write and publish on a variety of topics.

Steven D. Levitt (on leave)
Ph.D., Economics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Joint Appointment: William B. Ogden Distinguished Service Professor of Economics, University of Chicago
Research Interests: Crime, the criminal justice system, corruption, and a wide variety of issues related to racial disparity and education.
Ian Haney López

William H. Neukom Fellows Research Chair in Diversity and Law (2021-22); J.D., Harvard Law School; M.P.A., Princeton University; M.A., Washington University

Joint Appointment: John H. Boalt Professor of Law, University of California, Berkeley School of Law

Research Interests: Race and constitutional law, racism in electoral politics. Recent research emphasizes racial divisions in society and growing wealth inequality in the United States.

Current ABF Project:

Democracy Endgame: Dog Whistle Politics and How to Defeat It

López will examine Latino voting participation, with a particular focus on their response to racialized rhetoric that demonizes “illegal aliens.” His project will study the connection between electoral rhetoric and racial identity, as well as the link between racial beliefs and public policy.

Ajay K. Mehrotra

ABF Executive Director and Research Professor; Ph.D., History, University of Chicago; J.D., Georgetown University Law Center

Joint Appointment: Professor of Law and History, Northwestern University, Pritzker School of Law

Research Interests: Tax law, legal history, legal profession and education; and law and political economy in historical and comparative contexts.

Current ABF Projects:

The VAT Laggard

This project explores how and why the United States has historically rejected national consumption taxes. Nearly all developed countries, and many in the developing world have a national consumption tax in the form of a value-added tax (VAT). The United States is a glaring exception. This project focuses on the research question: why no VAT in the United States? To address this overall research question, this project explores three key historical periods from a comparative perspective to study how and why the U.S. has failed to adopt national consumption taxes, like the VAT.

Portrait Project 2.0 (with Hon. Goodwin Liu)

Asian Americans have been the fastest-growing minority group in the legal profession for the past three decades, but they have made only limited progress in reaching the top ranks of the profession, according to a recent report (Portrait Project) supported by the National Asian Pacific American Bar Association and Yale Law School. This new ABF project, conducted with support from several external organizations, and in collaboration with the Hon. Goodwin Liu, will expand upon the Portrait Project’s initial findings and explore the next phase of research. “Portrait Project 2.0” will explore several follow up questions, including: Why do Asian Americans have such high attrition rates at major law firms? Why do minority law students not get clerkships in proportion to their numbers at the top law schools? What explains the sharp and continuing decline in Asian American and other minority law school enrollment since 2009? Why are Asian Americans so dramatically underrepresented among the top ranks of prosecutors and other government officials?
Elizabeth Mertz
Ph.D., Anthropology, Duke University; J.D., Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law

Joint Appointment: Lichtstern Distinguished Research Scholar in Residence 2020-21 in the Department of Anthropology, University of Chicago; John and Rylla Bosshard Professor of Law Emerita, University of Wisconsin Law School

Research Interests: The language of law, legal education, social science and law.

Current ABF Project:
Senior Status, Gender, and Race in the Legal and Liberal Arts Academies—Phase II
(with Katharine Barnes, Frances Tung and Meghan Dawe)
While many of the more overt forms of discrimination are arguably on the wane, scholars have identified a number of “second generation” problems in employment discrimination. These include structural and cultural exclusion and other attitudes that create hostile or unpleasant work environments. This study is examining the post-tenure experience of law professors, addressing several core questions: Do the experiences of female or minority law professors differ significantly from those of white male law professors? Is there variation in law professors’ experience according to institutional characteristics of the law schools in which they teach? The study will provide the first national-level picture of law professors’ post-tenure experiences along multiple dimensions. A book announcing the results of Phase II of the project (in addition to more findings from Phase I) titled, American Law Professors at the Edge of Change, is currently in progress.

Janice Nadler
Ph.D., Social Psychology, University of Illinois; J.D., University of California, Berkeley School of Law

Joint Appointment: Nathaniel L. Nathanson Professor of Law, Northwestern University, Pritzker School of Law

Research Interests: Social psychology and law, focusing on compliance with the law; the psychology of property; perceptions of responsibility and fairness; and negotiation and conflict.

Current ABF Projects:
Public Opinion, Private Governance, and the Influence of Source Credibility
This project seeks to explore whether corporate endorsements and implementations of practices influence public support for legislation and regulation that would generally mandate such practices. While scholars have addressed the effects of popular media and the rise of a hyper-politicized media on popular attitudes and the production of law, they have largely ignored the possible effects of corporate endorsements and the implementation of practices on popular attitudes and, hence, the lawmaking and regulatory processes. This project aims to fill that gap in the literature, building on experimental surveys, case studies and theoretical analysis.

The Probative Versus Prejudicial Effect of Gruesome Photographs in Court
Courtroom images can influence beliefs, emotions, and judgments in ways that have never been empirically examined. This project will investigate how these emotionally evocative modes of visual evidence can affect the psychology of jurors’ decision-making processes, through influence on emotions, attention to evidence, and legal judgments at the individual and group level. The project will examine the extent to which gruesome photographs (versus verbal descriptions or neutral photographs) rouse negative emotion, causing jurors to pay more selective attention to case evidence that is consistent with their emotions and less attention to evidence that is not consistent with them.
Robert L. Nelson
ABF Director Emeritus; MacCrate Research Chair in the Legal Profession; Ph.D., Sociology, Northwestern University; J.D., Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law
Joint Appointment: Professor of Sociology and Law, Northwestern University
Research Interests: The social organization of law practice and the relationship between law and social inequality. Research has addressed transformations in the legal profession, the role of corporate counsel, gender inequality, and employment discrimination.
Current ABF Projects:
After the JD: Analysis and Book Write-Up
(with Ronit Dinovitzer, Bryant Garth, Joyce Sterling, David Wilkins, Ethan Michelson, and Meghan Dawe)
Designed as a longitudinal study of lawyers’ careers, “After the JD” is tracking the professional lives of more than 4,500 lawyers during their first twelve years after law school. After three waves of data collection on lawyers, the project can explore the full range of factors—personal, professional, and contextual—that lead to different career outcomes. The influence of gender, race, and ethnicity, in particular, will be more apparent as these lawyers have become more established in their careers and personal lives. The timing of the third wave, which followed the global financial crisis, will allow us to assess the effects of the economic collapse on the legal profession and individual lawyer careers. The project is in the final stages of analysis and writing and the authors have a book contract with the University of Chicago Press, with the manuscript for The Making of Lawyer Careers: Inequality and Opportunity in the American Legal Profession to be submitted in December 2021.
The Future of Latinos in the United States: Law, Opportunity and Mobility (with Rachel F. Moran)
This project is a nationwide, interdisciplinary research initiative devoted to understanding the current condition of Latinos in the United States, the structural barriers that impede full equality and integration for this emerging population, and the sites of intervention that promise to be most impactful in promoting opportunity and mobility through law and policy. The goal of the project is to generate findings that can be converted into concrete recommendations for reform and readily utilized by organizations and individuals to effect change. The project has held four regional roundtables, a fifth is planned, to be followed by a national summit. The project has now moved to UC-Irvine Law School under the directorship of Professor Rachel Moran.

Laura Beth Nielsen
Ph.D., Jurisprudence and Social Policy, University of California, Berkeley; J.D. University of California, Berkeley School of Law
Joint Appointment: Professor of Sociology and Law, Director of Legal Studies, Northwestern University
Research Interests: The sociology of law, with particular interest in legal consciousness and the relationship between law and inequalities of race, gender, and class, civil rights generally and employment civil rights.
Current ABF Projects:
Consent to Sex on Campus
This project is a multi-year, large-scale data collection and analysis phase of a research project about “new” civil rights. Nielsen is studying the impact (if any) of the Obama-era “Dear Colleague” letter on undergraduates at universities, as they relate to drinking, drugs, and sexual activity. The project combines a large-scale quantitative analysis of Title IX compliance policies at a national, random sample of universities, along with a large-scale, in-person interview phase with undergraduates at five college campuses in the Midwest about Title IX compliance regarding sexual assault among undergraduates. The research also will incorporate (as is possible) the current dismantling of these regulations by the Trump administration. The study builds on Nielsen’s previous scholarly work investigating the dissemination of information about civil rights, how organizations respond when they are responsible for enforcement, the institutional structures that interfere or complement achieving the goals of the civil rights movement, and, most importantly, how, if at all, ordinary people think about, integrate, and ignore law as they go about their daily life.
Contested Constructions of Discrimination (with Jill D. Weinberg and Jeremy Freese)

Despite the volume of empirical research about employment civil rights litigation, we know very little about how people come to assess whether workplace experiences are thought to be “discrimination” or “personal disputes.” This project combines a quantitative analysis of judges’ and laypeople’s determinations about whether hypothetical workplace disputes rise to the level of discrimination with qualitative in-depth interviews of judges to probe this determination further. Drawing on the legal consciousness and judicial decision-making literatures, this research examines the effect of social status, workplace context, plaintiff characteristics, and dispute characteristics on the likelihood that a person determines that a workplace dispute constitutes discrimination.

Jothie Rajah
Ph.D., Law, University of Melbourne; LL.B., National University of Singapore

Research Interests: The intersections of law, language, and power in the following areas: law, legitimacy and authoritarianism; international organizations and the global public sphere in constructions of norms for the rule of law; and the relationship among law, religion and national identity.

Current ABF Project:
Rule of Law Discourses

‘Rule of law’ is a concept and category that is incessantly invoked in the international public domain. At different times and in different hands, a range of different meanings has attached to ‘rule of law.’ In response to the impact of the post-9/11 rule of law, this project includes both doctrinal and non-doctrinal articulations of meanings, values, and relations for rule of law. A forthcoming monograph, Reading for Law in a Post-9/11 World, is driven by a protective and passionate concern for rule of law as a legal, social, and political ideal. Reading for Law examines both standard legal text—legislation—as well as non-doctrinal texts to illuminate legal meanings, relations, and values that are being constructed and disseminated in ways that tend to evade critical attention. In particular, it is motivated by the striking contrast in visibility that marks the events of 9/11 and the visible legal response.

Discounting Life: Law, Culture, and the Long War on Terror will be published by Cambridge University Press in 2022. This book examines how extrajudicial, extraterritorial killings of War on Terror enemies by the U.S. state have become the new normal. Alongside targeted individuals, unnamed and uncounted others die and are maimed. Despite the absence of law’s conventional sites, processes, and actors, the U.S. state celebrates these killings as the realization of ‘justice’. Meanwhile, images, narrative, and affect do the work of law; authorizing and legitimizing the discounting of some lives so that others—implicitly, American nationals—may live. How then, as we live through this unending, globalized war, are we to make sense of law in relation to the valuing of life? Adopting an interdisciplinary approach to law, and interrogating the U.S. state’s justifications for the project of counterterror, this book’s temporal arc, the long War on Terror, illuminates the profound continuities and many guises for racialized, imperial violence informing the contemporary discounting of life.

Anna Reosti
Ph.D., Sociology, University of Washington

Research Interests: Law’s relation to inequality in the criminal justice and housing settings. Research addresses the consequences of modern background screening practices for rental housing access and discrimination, as well as the capacity of local innovations in fair housing law to improve housing outcomes for renters with criminal convictions, evictions, and other stigmatizing background records.

Current ABF Project:
Regulating the Crisis, Phase I: An exploratory study of landlord responses to pandemic-era tenant protection regulations

This project examines how landlords are impacting the implementation and effects of pro-tenant regulations during the pandemic though their ground-level business practices and interactions with tenants. The initial
phase of this study will investigate how landlords are responding to the immediate challenges of the pandemic for the rental industry; namely missed rental payments and a changing regulatory environment. Phase I of the project will draw on in-depth interviews with a diverse group of Chicago area landlords and other rental industry agents, housing advocates and policymakers, and distressed renters.

Christopher W. Schmidt
Ph.D., History of American Civilization, Harvard University;
J.D., Harvard Law School
Joint Appointment: Professor of Law, Associate Dean for Faculty Development,
Co-Director of the Institute on the Supreme Court of the United States, Chicago-Kent College of Law

Research Interests: The intersection of social movement mobilization and constitutional change in American history, the ways in which constitutional claims emerge and develop outside the courts, and the effect of these extrajudicial claims on legal doctrine. Current research focuses on the evolution of the term “civil rights” in the United States from the Civil War to today and the history of the modern U.S. Supreme Court and its relationship with the American people.

Current ABF Project:
Civil Rights: An American History
This book project offers a history of how Americans have struggled over the meaning of the term “civil rights” from the Civil War through today. It provides a historical case study of how the words and categories by which we understand our world become objects of contestation and points of leverage for social, political, and legal action.

Susan P. Shapiro
Ph.D., Sociology, Yale University

Research Interests: The social construction, social organization, and social control of fiduciary or trust relationships. Research has examined topics such as white-collar crime, ethics, conflict of interest, the professions, the news media, guardianship, and surrogate decision-making.

Current ABF Project:
Trust 2.0: Law, Social Control, and New Technologies of Trust
Observers of the world of trust or fiduciary relationships have identified an evolution from institutional trust—which flows upward from individuals to states, organizations, and third parties—to distributed trust—which “flows laterally between individuals, enabled by networks, platforms, and systems”—for example, the difference between the dollar and bitcoin, the New York Stock Exchange and Kickstarter, the New York Times and Facebook, or the United Way and GoFundMe. Fueled by new technologies, distributed trust is often virtual, digital, global, decentralized, algocratic, and sometimes structured to escape legal or government oversight. This capstone project revisits Shapiro’s scholarship on “traditional” institutional trust to examine the legal and social control of computer-mediated distributed trust—what Shapiro calls Trust 2.0. The project will survey new developments in the role of law, regulation, and social control of trust in the internet age. It will examine the opportunities, strategies, efficacy, and limitations of these measures. It will explore the often-belated scrutiny by legal institutions of forms of trust that intentionally sought to escape state or legal oversight. It will examine how this regulatory landscape has evolved over the relatively short lifespan of Trust 2.0.
Research Faculty

**Ian Ayres**
Ph.D., Economics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; J.D., Yale Law School
Deputy Dean and William K. Townsend Professor of Law, Yale Law School
**Research Interests:** Antitrust, civil rights, commercial law, contracts, corporations, corporate finance, intellectual property, law and economics, empirical law and economics, property.

**John Donohue III**
J.D., Harvard Law School; Ph.D., Economics, Yale University
C. Wendell and Edith M. Carlsmit Professor of Law, Stanford Law School
**Research Interests:** Criminal law, drug policy, employment discrimination; law and economics; policing and gun policy; public policy and empirical studies; punishment and death penalty; race and the criminal justice system.

**Bryant G. Garth**
Ph.D., European University Institute; J.D., Stanford Law School
Distinguished Professor of Law Emeritus, Co-Director, Center for Empirical Research on the Legal Profession, University of California, Irvine; Director Emeritus, American Bar Foundation
**Research Interests:** The legal profession, dispute resolution, and internationalization. The topics intersect around the question of how internationalization—seen as the import and export of ideas, technologies, approaches, resources, and hierarchies—affects the position and importance of law in regulating the economy and the state; and the changing role of the legal profession in the United States.

**Austan D. Goolsbee**
Ph.D., Massachusetts Institute of Technology; M.A., Yale University
Robert P. Gwinn Professor of Economics at the University of Chicago Booth School of Business
**Research Interests:** The Internet; the new economy; government policy; taxes.

**Bonnie Honig**
Ph.D., Political Science, John Hopkins University
Nancy Duke Lewis Professor, Modern Culture and Media (MCM) and Political Science, Brown University
**Research Interests:** Normative political theory, contemporary democratic theory with particular attention to the area of law and politics. Research addresses how constitutions, legal norms, the centrality of rights, and cultural politics operate to help or hinder spontaneous and organized collective actions in democracies.
Affiliated Research Professors

**Tracey Meares**  
J.D., University of Chicago Law School  
Walton Hale Hamilton Professor and Faculty Director of the Justice Collaboratory, Yale Law School  
**Research Interests:** Policing in urban communities, understanding how members of the public think about their relationship(s) with legal authorities such as police, prosecutors, and judges.

**William J. Novak**  
Ph.D., History, Brandeis University  
Charles F. and Edith J. Clyne Professor of Law, University of Michigan Law School  
**Research Interests:** Interdisciplinary perspectives on law, legal history and law and the humanities, legal theory and philosophy, public law and regulatory policy.

**Dylan C. Penningroth**  
Ph.D., History, Johns Hopkins University  
Professor of Law and History, University of California, Berkeley  
**Research Interests:** African-American history, comparative histories of slavery and emancipation, and socio-legal history, with a particular focus on family relations, the rise of the independent black church, migration, the interaction between legal categories and popular conceptions such as respectability, race, and “slavish origins”; the cultural, social, and legal legacy of slavery in colonial Ghana and the United States.

**Robert J. Sampson**  
Ph.D., Sociology, State University of New York at Albany  
Henry Ford II Professor of the Social Sciences, Harvard University  
**Research Interests:** Crime, disorder, the life course, neighborhood effects, civic engagement, urban inequality, “ecometrics,” and the social structure of the city.

**Peter Siegelman**  
Ph.D., Economics, Yale University  
Philip I. Blumberg Professor of Law, University of Connecticut Law School  
**Research interests:** Employment discrimination, contracts, insurance law and economics, empirical law and economics.
Research Faculty

Christopher L. Tomlins
Ph.D., History, Johns Hopkins University
Elizabeth J. Boalt Professor of Law, University of California, Berkeley

Research Interests: Anglo-American legal history, from the beginning of the sixteenth century into the later twentieth century. Currently engaged in research on the Southampton (Virginia) slave revolt of 1831, known as the Turner Rebellion. Additional work includes research on the history of contemporary legal thought, on the philosophy of legal history, and on the materialist jurisprudence detectable in the work of the German literary critic Walter Benjamin.

Tom Tyler
Ph.D., Social Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles
Macklin Fleming Professor of Law and Professor of Psychology and Founding Director of The Justice Collaboratory

Research Interests: Criminal justice reform, social science and institutional design, law and psychology.

Victoria Saker Woeste
Ph.D., Jurisprudence and Social Policy, University of California, Berkeley

Research Interests: Research has examined how law mediates and shapes the relationships among the state, the market, and society in U.S. history, as well as uncovered institutional and organizational responses to legal and economic change.

Ronit Dinovitzer
Ph.D., Sociology, University of Toronto
Joint Appointment: Professor of Sociology, University of Toronto

Research Interests: The sociology of law, with a particular interest in the legal profession, focusing on the sources of inequality within the profession and the mechanisms that produce and reproduce them. Recent work has examined the gender gap in lawyer incomes, the distribution of lawyer satisfaction, and the career trajectories of urban law school graduates.

Current ABF Project:
After the JD (with Bryant Garth, Robert Nelson, Gabriele Pickert, Meghan Dawe, and Joyce Sterling)
Please refer to Robert L. Nelson’s entry for project description.
Sida Liu
Ph.D., Sociology, University of Chicago; LL.B., Peking University Law School
Joint Appointment: Associate Professor of Sociology and Law, University of Toronto
Research Interests: The sociology of law, with an empirical focus on the legal professions in China, Hong Kong, and Taiwan and a theoretical interest in law as a social space.
Current ABF Project:
Lawyer Activism in China (with Terence Halliday)
Please refer to Terence Halliday’s entry for project description.

Justin Richland
Ph.D., Anthropology, University of California, Los Angeles; J.D., University of California, Berkeley
Joint Appointment: Associate Professor of Anthropology, University of California, Irvine
Current ABF Project:
Open Fields: Ethics, Aesthetics, and the Very Idea of Natural History
This project explores whether changes in federal laws regarding Native American cultural property and human remains (especially the Native American Graves Repatriation and Protection Act) are impacting how tribal nations in the United States are engaging with non-native institutions and agencies that control those materials. This includes not only federal agencies like the U.S. Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management, but also federally funded private institutions like the Field Museum of Natural History and the American Museum of Natural History. This is part of a larger project that explores the details of negotiations between native and non-native officials in government and related institutions, and how a close analysis of these engagements shed light on the regulatory practices of notice-and-comment that make up the regular site of government-to-government engagement between the United States and tribes today.

Rebecca Sandefur
Ph.D., Sociology, University of Chicago
Joint Appointment: Professor, The College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Arizona State University
Research Interests: Access to justice, how legal services are delivered and consumed, how civil legal aid is organized around the nation, the role of pro bono, the efficacy of lawyers and non-lawyers as advocates and representatives, and how ordinary people think about their justice problems and try to solve them.
Current ABF Projects:
ABF/JPB Access to Justice Scholars
The ABF/JPB Foundation Access to Justice Scholars Program, directed by Rebecca L. Sandefur, brings together cohorts of scholars in order to support their research, mentor their progress, and build intellectual relationships needed to grow the access to justice field. The program expands empirical access to justice scholarship by encouraging scholars to engage with this burgeoning research field. By facilitating the translation of research into practice, the program will generate more effective approaches to improve justice for all.
Accessing Justice in Contemporary America: The Community Needs and Services Study
This project investigates the American public’s experiences with civil justice problems and the institutions of remedy that exist for those problems. The study focuses on a core set of commonly experienced problems that have civil legal aspects, raise civil legal issues, and have consequences shaped by civil law. Funded by the National Science Foundation and the American Bar Foundation, this project is now in the write-up stage.
Increasing Access to Justice: Legally Empowering Technologies
This research examines the commodification of professional expertise and its distribution to lay people through technology. With funding from the Open Society Foundation, Sandefur is studying digital technologies that allow nonlawyers to understand, diagnose, or act on legal problems. Research questions include efficacy—for example, do the tools help solve people’s problems by producing repairs to substandard apartments or legal documents that successfully perform in court—but also questions of whether and how expertise and confidence are actually distributed to the public by these tools: that is, questions of legal empowerment. For example, do these tools increase the capacity of individuals or communities to identify and take action on problems; or do they divert people away from collective or public action and toward private resolution? Findings reveal the value of pairing the study of legal expertise and legal institutions with the study of public experience with justice problems. A striking finding is a substantial mismatch between available tools and people’s needs: few existing tools assist people with the kinds of justice problems that research shows people actually have.

Shih-Chun Chien
JSD, Stanford University; LL.M, University of California, Berkeley School of Law
Research Interests: Comparative legal analysis, the legal profession, and criminal justice.
Current ABF Project:
Portrait Project 2.0 (with Ajay K. Mehrotra and Goodwin Liu)
Please refer to Ajay K. Mehrotra’s entry for project description.

Meghan Dawe
Ph.D., Sociology, University of Toronto
Research Interests: Law and inequality, with a focus on inequality and stratification in the legal profession.
Current ABF Projects:
After the JD (with Bryant Garth, Robert Nelson, Gabriele Plickert, Ronit Dinovitzer, and Joyce Sterling)
Please refer to Robert L. Nelson’s entry for project description.
Senior Status, Gender, and Race in the Legal and Liberal Arts Academies (with Elizabeth Mertz)
Please refer to Elizabeth Mertz’s entry for project description.

Elizabeth L. Murphy
M.A., Sociology, University of Illinois, Chicago
Research Interests: Jury decision making and ways to assist courts in optimizing jury trials.
Current ABF Project:
Science and the Legal System (with Shari Seidman Diamond)
Please refer to Shari Seidman Diamond’s entry for project description.

Frances Tung
Ph.D., Psychology, Suffolk University
Research Interests: Law professors and the legal profession.
Current ABF Project:
Senior Status, Gender, and Race in the Legal and Liberal Arts Academies—Phase II (with Elizabeth Mertz and Katherine Barnes)
Please refer to Elizabeth Mertz’s entry for project description.
Selected Publications

Tera Agyepong

- “Race, the Construction of Dangerous Sexualities, and Juvenile Justice,” The Intimate State: Sex, Gender, and Governance in Modern America, ed. Margot Canaday, Robert Self, and Nancy Cott (2021)

Bernadette Atuahene


Stephen Daniels


Meghan Dawe

- “Mind the Gap: Gendered Pay Disparities in the Legal Academy,” (w. C.J. Ryan) Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics (Forthcoming)

Meera E. Deo

- “Investigating Pandemic Effects on Legal Academia,” 89 Fordham L. Rev. 2467 (2021)
- “Unequal Profession, Unleashed,” 73 Rutgers L. Rev. 857 (2021)

Shari Seidman Diamond

- (w. V. Almeida, D. Bakrokar, M. Bilinski, N. Chizik, A. Harfuch, L. Ortiz, M.S. Porterie, & A. Romano)
- “La duda razonable y el fallo ‘Cage vs. Louisiana’” [Cage v. Louisiana and Reasonable Doubt], in A. M. Binder & A. Harfuch, eds. El juicio pro jurados en la jurisprudencia nacional e internacional: Sentencias comentadas y opiniones académicas del common law, del civil law y del la Corte Europea de Derechos Humanos 217 (Buenos Aires, Argentina Ad Hoc Publishers, 2020)

Ronit Dinovitzer


Bryant G. Garth


Tom Ginsburg

- “Authoritarian International Law?” 114(2) American Journal of International Law 221 (2020)
Selected Publications

John Hagan

Terence C. Halliday

Carol A. Heimer

Sida Liu
- “Between Social Spaces,” European Journal of Social Theory (2020)
- “Professional Impurities,” 34 Research in the Sociology of Work 147 (2020)
- “Inside the ‘Red Circle’: The Production of China’s Corporate Legal Elite,” (w. J. Zhu, Jingqi and Y. Zhao) 7(1) Journal of Professions and Organization 87 (2020)

Ajay K. Mehrotra

Elisabeth Mertz
• Research Handbook on Modern Legal Realism w. Talesh, S., & H. Klug eds., (Edward Elgar Publishing 2021)
• “Multimodal conduct in the law: Language, gesture and materiality in legal interaction by Gregory Matoesian and Kristin Enola Gilbert (review)” Language (2021)
• Challenging Translations: Law and Social Sciences (In Press)

Janice Nadler

Robert L. Nelson

Jothie Rajah
• “Representing Transnational Law,” in P. Zumbansen, ed., The Oxford Handbook of Transnational Law (2021)

Anna Reosti
• “The Costs of Seeking Shelter for Renters with Discrediting Background Records,” City & Community 20:3 (2021)

Rebecca L. Sandefur
• “Legal Advice from Nonlawyers: Consumer Demand, Provider Quality, and Public Harms,” 16(2) Stanford Journal of Civil Rights & Civil Liberties 283 (2020)

Christopher Schmidt
• Civil Rights in America: A History (Cambridge University Press, 2021)

Susan P. Shapiro
• “Balancing Past vs Future Values in Decision-making,” 323(19) JAMA 1975 (2020)
• “Lessons about Law at Life’s End: Rethinking Advance Directives in the Shadow of a Pandemic,” 1 Juriste International 17 (2020)
Law & Social Inquiry

Law & Social Inquiry (LSI) is a quarterly, interdisciplinary, peer-reviewed scholarly journal of international standing. LSI examines pressing sociolegal issues across multiple disciplines, including anthropology, criminology, economics, history, law, philosophy, political science, sociology, and social psychology. Recent LSI articles have been awarded numerous distinctions, including the Law & Society Association Article Prize.

Submitted manuscripts are reviewed by the LSI Editorial Committee and then sent out to expert scholars in a double-blind peer review process. LSI also regularly features symposia, or a series of manuscripts centered on a specific sociolegal theme. In addition to its high quality of original research, LSI is known for its review essays. Review essays are article-length treatments of a book or group of books that situate them within their greater intellectual context. Each issue of the journal also includes “Book Notes” that present brief descriptions of twenty or thirty recently published books of interest to those working in the field of law or the social sciences. LSI also holds an annual student paper competition for graduate students, which includes a monetary prize and publication of the winning paper.

ABF scholars play a critical role in maintaining LSI's excellent standards for sociolegal scholarship through their service as editors, peer reviewers, and authors.

Researching Law

The Researching Law newsletter is designed to acquaint a wide audience with the American Bar Foundation's research activities. The articles that appear in the publication present ABF research project findings and highlight relevant research about up-to-the-minute social issues in a concise, nontechnical format.

Researching Law is written and edited by the ABF's communications team. The newsletter is distributed to a wide audience, including the Fellows of the American Bar Foundation, policymakers, libraries, foundations, government agencies, and media outlets. Issues are posted and available for download on the ABF website.

This year's issues of Researching Law celebrated new and ongoing ABF programs. The Spring 2021 issue of Researching Law highlighted the ABF/JPB Foundation Access to Justice Scholars Program and the ongoing work of the Scholars. The Fall 2021 issue of Researching Law focused on recent work by Meera Deo, 2020-21 ABF Neukom Fellows Research Chair in Diversity and Law. This issue also highlighted the past work of previous Neukom Chairs and their current work.
Recent Major Media Coverage and Faculty Op-Eds

- “N.C. court finds felon disenfranchisement rule ‘unconstitutional’” | **Traci Burch** | WNCT | September 10, 2020
- “Injured?” | **Stephen Daniels** | New York Magazine | September 16, 2020
- “How to honor RBG by supporting her favorite causes” | **American Bar Foundation** | CNN | September 19, 2020
- “Amid Virus, Disaster Attys Struggle To Reach Survivors” | **Rebecca Sandefur** | Law 360 | September 20, 2020
- “Why Motivations Matter to the Study of Legal Education and the Legal Profession” | **Stephen Daniels and Shih-Chun Shien** | Law School Survey of Student Engagement Blog | September 24, 2020
- “Research shows link between criminal arrests and ‘America First’ ideology” | **John Hagan** | Northwestern University News | October 14, 2020
- “Local law professor weighs in on day 3 of Judge Amy Coney Barrett’s confirmation hearings” | **Christopher W. Schmidt** | WGN News | October 14, 2020
- “Coalition urges Wayne County to continue ban on tax foreclosures in 2021 and beyond” | **Bernadette Atuahene** | Detroit News | October 21, 2020
- “How should the US rewrite its constitution?” | **Tom Ginsburg** | Quartz | October 21, 2020
- “Supreme Tradition: The story of justices’ black robes” | **Christopher W. Schmidt** | WGN News | October 21, 2020
- “Detroit shouldn’t have to tackle blight alone. Look for a regional solution.” | **Bernadette Atuahene** | Detroit Free Press | October 28, 2020
- “How many tenured law professors are Black? Public data does not say” | **Meera E. Deo** (ABF Neukom Chair) | ABA Journal | October 28, 2020
- “Diversity and Exclusion Within Legal Education” | **Meera E. Deo** (ABF Neukom Chair) | The Regulatory Review | November 9, 2020
- “Cute as a Button” | **Meera E. Deo** (ABF Neukom Chair) | Strict Scrutiny Podcast | November 2020
- “Politically Speaking: The Supreme Court” | **Christopher W. Schmidt** | WNIT PBS | November 20, 2020
- “Detroit City Council rejects mayor’s plan for overtaxed homeowners, calling it insufficient” | **Bernadette Atuahene** | Detroit Free Press | November 24, 2020
- “Facing a First Amendment fight, a small Minnesota town allows a White supremacist church” | **Laura Beth Nielsen** | The Washington Post | December 14, 2020
- “How Fragile is Our Democracy?” | **Tom Ginsburg** | Washington Monthly | December 17, 2020
- “When families of murder victims speak at death penalty trials, their anguish may make sentencing less fair” | **Janice Nadler** | The Conversation | December 18, 2020
- “Laws Are Killing Jury Trials, Hurting Due Process, Study Says” | **Shari Seidman Diamond** | Law360 | December 18, 2020
- “Study: Why Civil and Criminal Jury Trials are Disappearing” | **Shari Seidman Diamond** | Detroit Legal News | December 21, 2020
- “The Vanishing Jury Trial and Other Important Lessons for Mediators” | **Shari Seidman Diamond** | Mediate.com | January 2021
- “Unjust property tax assessments still burden some Detroit homeowners” | **Bernadette Atuahene** | Detroit Free Press | January 08, 2021
- “The Pandemic Could Set Women Law Professors Back Years. These Academics Want to Find Solutions” | **Meera E. Deo** | Law.com | January 13, 2021
- “What Do We Lose When Jury Trials Disappear?” | **Shari Seidman Diamond** | The AmLaw Litigation Daily | January 13, 2021
- “Housing advocates call on Gov. Whitmer, Detroit leaders to repay overtaxed homeowners” | **Bernadette Atuahene** | Detroit Free Press | January 21, 2021
- “How many tenured law professors are Black? Public data does not say” | **Meera E. Deo** (ABF Neukom Chair) | ABA Journal | November 9, 2020
- “Diversity and Exclusion Within Legal Education” | **Meera E. Deo** (ABF Neukom Chair) | The Regulatory Review | November 9, 2020
- “After Riot, Legal Reasons For Disparate Policing Prove Elusive” | **Christopher Schmidt** | Law360 | January 24, 2021
- “This month Detroit homeowners can ask city to re-consider their property assessment” | **Bernadette Atuahene** | ABC7 WXYZ | February 5, 2021
- “Jury Trials are Disappearing. Here’s Why.” | **Shari Seidman Diamond** | Injustice Watch | February 17, 2021
Recent Major Media Coverage and Faculty Op-Eds

- “Analyst: Trump legal team expected to lean on ‘free speech’ argument” | Tom Ginsburg | Al Jazeera | February 10, 2021
- “The Black Cops Who Fought Brutality on Their Own Force” | Tera Agyepong | JSTOR Daily | February 28, 2021
- “Advocates back study that found Detroit still inflating property taxes” | Bernadette Atuahene | The Detroit News | March 11, 2021
- “Federal judge rules on lawsuit over property tax appeals in Detroit” | Bernadette Atuahene | The Detroit News | March 14, 2021
- “Can Chinese law firms take over the world?” | Sida Liu | The Economist | March 3, 2021
- “Facing Terminal Cancer” | Susan Shapiro | Chicago Health Magazine | March 14, 2021
- “Dan Gilbert committed $500M to Detroit neighborhoods. Here’s the immediate impact” | Bernadette Atuahene | Yahoo News | March 25, 2021
- “Housing experts: ‘Massive outreach’ needed to make Gilbert’s $15M donation successful” | Bernadette Atuahene | Detroit Free Press | March 26, 2021
- “Op-Ed: Anti-Asian attacks might have been taken more seriously if we had more Asian American DAs” | California Supreme Court Associate Justice Goodwin Liu | Los Angeles Times | March 26, 2021
- “Why Buddhism Matters in Constitutional Reform” | Tom Ginsburg | The University of Chicago Law School News | March 26, 2021
- “Property tax foreclosures; young MI poet wins honors; art center navigates pandemic” | Bernadette Atuahene | Michigan Radio | April 2, 2021
- “Female professors have less time to research in the pandemic. It could force them out of academia” | Meera E. Deo (ABF Neukom Chair) | The Lily (and in print in the Washington Post) | April 5, 2021
- “How the Criminal Justice System Deploys Mass Surveillance on Innocent People” | Sarah Lageson | Los Angeles Times | April 5, 2021
- “Which places will require proof of a COVID-19 vaccine? And should they?” | Erin Paquette (ABF/JPB Foundation Access to Justice Scholar) | WHYY PBS | April 5, 2021
- “UCI Law Interim Dean Interim Dean Of National Search” | Bryant Garth (ABF Director Emeritus and Affiliated Research Professor) | Law 360 | April 8, 2021
- “Why policing’s ‘bad apple’ argument has to end with Derek Chauvin” | Nicole Gonzalez Van Cleve (ABF Affiliated Scholar) | MSNBC | April 11, 2021
- “Don’t Pack the Court” | Tom Ginsburg | Persuasion | April 21, 2021
- “Child welfare systems have long harmed Black children like Ma’Khia Bryant” | Tera Agyepong | Washington Post | April 30, 2021
- “From Trayvon Martin to George Floyd: The Trauma of Injustice” | Angela Onwuachi-Willig (Former ABF Neukom Chair) | Common Law | May 2021
- “In Detroit, many are missing out on property tax debt relief. Here’s why.” | Bernadette Atuahene | Detroit Free Press | May 2, 2021
- “Rights, Facts, and Roe” | Christopher W. Schmidt | Jotwell: The Journal of Things We Like (Lots) | May 4, 2021
- “Securing Essential Work for Low Wage Workers” | Deepa Das Acevedo (ABF Visiting Scholar) | Jotwell: The Journal of Things We Like (Lots) | May 7, 2021
- “South Asian communities have built power in the wake of violence” | Hardeep Dhillon (ABF/NSF Post-Doctoral Fellow in Law and Inequality) | Washington Post | May 15, 2021
- “Prof. discuss police accountability one year after George Floyd’s murder” | Traci Burch | The Daily Northwestern | May 25, 2021
- “Helicopters, a patrol car and virtual bodyguards: Inside Citizen’s scattered push to upend public safety” | Sarah Lageson (ABF/JPB Foundation Access to Justice Scholar) | CNN | June 3, 2021
- “The false promises of more immigration enforcement” | Emily Ryo (ABF/JPB Foundation Access to Justice Scholar) | Vox | June 3, 2021
- “Dr. Christopher Schmidt on the upcoming Supreme Court decisions on Obamacare, voting rights, and more” | Christopher W. Schmidt | WGN Radio | June 6, 2021
• “In Absentia: No Latino Superior Court judges in these majority-Latino California counties” | Laura Beth Nielsen | CalMatters | June 14, 2021
• “How to Truly Protect Academic Freedom” | Tom Ginsburg | The Chronicle of Higher Education | June 16, 2021
• “Freeing Cosby on narrow grounds disappoints lawyers seeking clarity on testimony by abuse victims” | Laura Beth Nielsen | The Philadelphia Inquirer | June 30, 2021
• “Entitled” | Tom Ginsburg | University of Chicago Podcast Network | July 2021
• “Politicians and Social Justice Groups demanding Detroit to end tax foreclosures and repay residents” | Bernadette Atuahene | WCMU Public Radio | July 7, 2021
• “Tax justice group calls on Detroit to fix ‘unfair’ property assessments” | Bernadette Atuahene | The Detroit News | July 7, 2021
• “Solving civil justice issues in the classroom” | Alyx Mark (ABF Affiliated Scholar) | ABA Journal | July 8, 2021
• “Priced Out: Nation’s Property Taxes Reveal Staggering Inequity” | Bernadette Atuahene | NBC Nightly News | July 9, 2021
• “Student Debt is a raceXgender Issue” | Meera E. Deo | LSSSE Insights Blog | July 9, 2021
• “The Supreme Court Isn’t Broken” | Tom Ginsburg | Persuasion | July 9, 2021
• “If small landlords in King County are selling their rentals, should we care?” | Anna Reosti | Crosscut | July 9, 2021
• “Why Language Matters for Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion” | Meera E. Deo | Law School Admission Council Blog | July 12, 2021
• “Hope: A Message to China’s Defense Lawyers” | Terence C. Halliday | China Change | July 16, 2021
• “With the detention of Canadian pop star Kris Wu, has the #MeToo movement finally arrived in China?” | Sida Liu | Toronto Star | August 7, 2021
• “Detroit’s population decline continues for 7th straight decade, 2020 census data shows” | Bernadette Atuahene | Detroit Free Press | August 12, 2021
• “5 Legal Aid Web Usability Insights” | Rebecca Sandefur | LexBlog | August 18, 2021
Collaboration with Strategic Partners

Since its founding in 1952, the ABF has collaborated with strategic partners to provide useful research for the organized bar, bench, and academy by conducting research and disseminating scholarly findings.

The ABF leverages its resources to increase collaborations with strategic partners, such as the American Bar Association (ABA) and other research-oriented institutions. From time to time, the ABF collaborates with ABA entities on specific research initiatives.

“Achieving Long-Term Careers for Women in Law” is an in-depth, ongoing research project with the ABA. It is led by Hilarie Bass, Stephanie Scharf, and Bobbi Liebenberg, with assistance from ABF scholar Joyce Sterling and ABF Research Social Scientist Caroline Tipler, has explored the unique issues and career dynamics, including the disproportionately high rate of attrition, facing women lawyers in practice for more than 20 years. One of the recent reports, “Left Out and Left Behind,” was co-authored (with Paulette Brown and Eileen Letts) by former ABF Doctoral Fellow Destiny Peery. Professor Sterling presented to the ABA Women’s Caucus at the August 2020 ABA Virtual Annual Meeting.

The ABF is collaborating with the ABA Senior Lawyer Division in helping to disseminate the findings of the longstanding ABA project, “Women Trailblazers in the Law.” This project is devoted to capturing, recording, and preserving the complete life histories of pioneering women lawyers as told by the women themselves.

ABF Executive Director Ajay K. Mehrotra is working closely with ABA leader Brooksley Born, the founder of this project, and Linda Ferren, the project manager, in assisting with the final stages, including broad dissemination of the project to scholars and other stakeholders. Thus far, the team has hosted panel discussions at the American Association of Law School’s annual conference, the Stanford Law School, and the Law & Society Association annual conference.

The ABF also regularly provides presentations, briefs, and substantive advice to ABA entities to keep leaders and members abreast of the latest research findings on some of the most relevant topics in the ongoing national and international conversations on law. These efforts support the goal of the ABF to enhance the public’s understanding of law, legal institutions, and legal processes.

In addition to the ABA, the ABF continues to partner and collaborate with other entities to support research and dissemination. For the ABF’s Portrait Project 2.0, the ABF has partnered with Hon. Goodwin Liu, NAPABA, Yale Law School, Northwestern Pritzker School of Law, and several Asian American Bar Associations. Throughout 2021, researchers from the ABF also collaborated with the Association of American Law Schools (AALS), the World Justice Project, and the National Bar Association.
Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship Program

The Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship (SURF) program offers students the opportunity to explore the field of sociolegal research and observe law practice in the private and public sectors. Since 1988, 134 undergraduates have been selected from across the country in a highly competitive application process to participate in the fellowship. The SURF program is supported by generous grants from the Kenneth F. and Harle G. Montgomery Foundation, the Law School Admission Council (LSAC), Walmart, Kirkland & Ellis, LLP, Banner Witcoff, and AT&T.

2021 Summer Undergraduate Research Fellows

Natasha Chaiyarat is an incoming senior at Brandeis University, pursuing a double major in International Studies and History. Natasha worked with ABF Research Professor Christopher Schmidt on his current project on the relationship between the U.S. Supreme Court and the American people since 1930.

Laura Fagbemi is a rising senior at Rice University, with a double major in Social Policy Analysis and English. Laura examined the Future of Latinos and After the JD projects with ABF Research Professor Robert Nelson.

Connor Herbert is an incoming senior at the University of Cincinnati, majoring in Political Science and International Affairs. He plans to focus on Chinese lawyer activism and international responses to human rights violations. Conner worked alongside ABF Research Professor Terence Halliday.

Nicole Maria Mateo is a rising sophomore at the University of Chicago and is pursuing a double major in Psychology and Law, Letters, and Society. Nicole worked on the Portrait Project 2.0 on Asian American Lawyers and diversity in the legal profession with Ajay K. Mehrotra, ABF Executive Director and Research Professor.

Sasha Matsuki is an incoming junior at Indiana University majoring in History and Political Science. Sasha worked alongside ABF Research Professor Tom Ginsburg on his project, Democracies and International Law: The Trials of Liberal Theory.
The ABF is committed to developing the next generation of scholars in the field of law, social science, and higher education by offering several doctoral and postdoctoral fellowship opportunities. Fellowships are typically held in residence at the ABF offices in Chicago. This year, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, all fellowships were held virtually. Still, fellows were given the opportunity to virtually engage with our intellectual community, gain feedback on scholarly and professional projects in workshop settings and utilize ABF resources toward academic goals. Fellows receive valuable mentorship from ABF researchers and a generous stipend to help complete their dissertation projects as well as fund their research. Past fellows have built on their experiences at the ABF by moving on to promising careers in tenure-track university positions and as legal professionals.

The ABF offers several distinct fellowship opportunities to foster the next generation of scholars engaging in original and significant research in law, social science, and higher education.

### Doctoral Fellows

- **Brandon Alston**  
  Ph.D. candidate in Sociology, Northwestern University

- **Amber Joy Powell**  
  Ph.D. candidate in Sociology at the University of Minnesota

- **Isabel Anadon**  
  Ph.D. candidate in the Department of Sociology at the University of Wisconsin-Madison

- **Kumar Ramanathan**  
  Ph.D. candidate in Political Science at Northwestern University

- **Alex Reiss-Sorokin**  
  Ph.D. candidate in the Program in History, Anthropology, Science, Technology, and Society at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology

### Postdoctoral Fellows

- **Hardeep Dhillon**  
  Ph.D. in History with a secondary in Women, Gender, and Sexuality Studies at Harvard University

- **Sonya Rao**  
  Ph.D. in Linguistic and Legal Anthropology, University of California, Los Angeles

- **Charquia Wright**  
  J.D., Georgetown University Law Center; L.L.M., University of California, Los Angeles

### Additional Fellowships

- **The ABF/NSF Doctoral and Postdoctoral Fellowships in Law & Inequality** aim to encourage original and significant empirical and interdisciplinary research on the study of law and inequality.

- **The ABF/NU Doctoral Fellowship in Law & Social Science**, co-sponsored by the ABF and Northwestern University, seeks to encourage original and innovative research on law, the legal profession, and legal institutions.

- **The ABF/AccessLex Institute Doctoral and Postdoctoral Fellowships in Legal and Higher Education**, co-sponsored by the ABF and AccessLex Institute, aims to assist emerging scholars who research issues of access, affordability, or value in legal and higher education.
ABF/JPB Foundation Access to Justice Scholars Program

The American Bar Foundation (ABF), in partnership with The JPB Foundation, is invigorating the field of civil access to justice research by seeding and supporting new research projects and growing a network of access to justice scholars. The ABF/JPB Foundation Access to Justice Scholars Program brings together faculty scholar cohorts to support research, mentorship, and to build the intellectual relationships needed to grow the access to justice field. By facilitating the translation of research into policy and practice, the program supports and amplifies effective approaches to improve justice for all.

The program’s Director is ABF Faculty Fellow Rebecca L. Sandefur. Professor Sandefur founded the ABF’s Access to Justice Research Initiative in 2010 and received a MacArthur “Genius” grant for her access to justice work in 2018. In 2021, Professor Sandefur was joined by senior program officer Matthew Burnett, who joined the ABF to grow the access to justice program and build new opportunities to bridge civil justice research to policy and practice.

The 2021-22 cohort of Access to Justice Scholars are listed below. Additional program information and project descriptions are listed on the ABF website.

**Robin Bartram**  
(Professor of Sociology, Tulane University)  
studies homeowners at risk of displacement, how they understand justice and injustice, and how this understanding serves as key to their ability to access justice.

**Brittany Friedman**  
(Professor of Sociology, University of Southern California)  
explores the civil justice crises behind the relationship between legal representation, pay-to-stay policies in prisons and jails, and civil recoupment strategies and how these contribute to socioeconomic inequality.

**Shannon Gleeson**  
(Professor of Labor Relations, Law, and History, Cornell University ILR School)  
investigates the impact of immigration status on worker precarity, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic and amid racial inequality.

**Karin D. Martin**  
(Professor of Sociology, Tulane University)  
explores the civil justice crises behind the relationship between legal representation, pay-to-stay policies in prisons and jails, and civil recoupment strategies and how these contribute to socioeconomic inequality.

**Victor Quintanilla**  
(Professor of Law, Indiana University Maurer School of Law and Affiliated Professor, Indiana University Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences)  
investigates access to justice for unrepresented people in virtual court proceedings, focusing on the structural, technological, and psychological challenges that people face.

**Michele Statz**  
(Professor of Law, University of Minnesota Medical School and Affiliated Professor, University of Minnesota Law School)  
explores how socio-spatial dimensions of rural jurisdictions influence access to justice, rights mobilization, and the work of tribal and state courts in northern Minnesota and Wisconsin.

**Nicole Summers**  
(Professor of Law, Harvard Law School)  
investigates legal pathways by which eviction filings result in actual evictions.
Institutional Support

The ABF research program is supported by an annual grant from the American Bar Endowment (see page 2) and contributions from The Fellows of the American Bar Foundation and other supporters. The ABF also receives support from government agencies, private foundations, and corporations for specific research projects and programs. The ABF is enormously grateful to the following institutions, which have provided support for projects and programs since 2018.

- 195 Essential LLC
- ABA Commission on Women in the Profession
- ABA Criminal Justice Section
- ABA Health Law Section
- ABA National Conference of Federal Trial Judges
- ABA Solo, Small Firm and General Practice Division
- AccessLex Institute
- Albany Law School
- American Bar Endowment
- American Society for Legal History
- Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
- Asian American Bar Association of New York
- Asian American Bar Association of the Greater Bay Area
- Asian American Law Fund of New York
- Asian Pacific American Bar Association – Silicon Valley
- AT&T
- Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
- Banner & Witcoff, LTD.
- Barran Liebman LLP
- Bennett Jones LLP
- Boies Schiller and Flexner LLP
- Briggs and Morgan
- Bullivant Houser Bailey PC
- Center for Advanced Study in Behavioral Sciences
- Chicago Community Trust
- Clark Hill PLC
- Clifford Law Offices
- Crowe & Dunlevy
- Davis Polk & Wardwell
- Washington DC Bar
- Dickinson Wright PLLC
- Dykema Gossett PLLC
- Emory University School of Law
- Epstein Becker Green
- Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP
- Fishman Haygood LLP
- Franczek P.C.
- Google Grants
- Gordon Thomas Honeywell LLP
- Harrison & Held, LLP
- Haynes and Boone, LLP
- Howard University School of Law
- IFK Foundation
- Innocence Project New Orleans
- James W. Tippin & Associates
- Jenner & Block
- Jones Walker LLP
- Kenneth F. and Harle G. Montgomery Foundation
- Kirkland & Ellis LLP
- Latham & Watkins LLP
- Law School Admission Council
- Louisiana Bar Foundation
- Louisiana Judicial Council - National Bar Association
- LSU Law
- Marquette Associates
- Michigan State University College of Law
- National Asian Pacific American Bar Association
- National Association of Women Judges
- National Science Foundation
- Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP
- NeunerPate
- Northrop Grumman
- Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law
- One Acadiana
- Open Society Foundations
- Oscar M. Ruebhausen Fund at Yale Law School
- Parsons Behle & Latimer
- Perkins Coie LLP
- Practising Law Institute
- Provençal Collective, Inc.
- Public Good Software
- Public Welfare Foundation
- Qualcomm Foundation
- Rutgers Law School
- Sher Garner Cahill Richter Klein & Hilbert, L.L.C.
- Simon, Peragine, Smith & Redfearn LLP
- Sourcebooks
- Spencer Foundation
- State Bar of Michigan
- Sterne Kessler Goldstein Fox PLLC
- The George Washington Law School
- The JPB Foundation
- Thomson Reuters
- Tres Chicas
- UC Berkeley School of Law
- UCLA Law Critical Race Studies Program
- United Airlines
- United Way of Acadiana
- University of Alberta, Faculty of Law
- UNLV William S. Boyd School of Law
- Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz
- Walmart
- Washington Performing Arts
- Wilentz
- Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP
- Wolters Kluwer
- Wolverine Bar Association
- Yamini Designs
- Young Mayden Connect Legal Search & Consulting
2021 Research Presentations at the American Bar Foundation

- Osagie K. Obasogie, University of California, Berkeley School of Public Health—“Excited Delirium and Police Use of Force,” January 13
- Greg Shaffer, University of California, Irvine School of Law—“Emerging Powers and the World Trading System,” January 20
- Olufunmilayo Arewa, Temple University Beasley School of Law—“Technology, Governance, & Law: #EndSARS and the Digital Era Protest,” January 27
- Terry A. Maroney, Vanderbilt Law School—“What We Talk About When We Talk About Judicial Temperament,” February 3
- Ian Haney López, UC Berkeley School of Law—“Racism, Plutocracy, and the 2020 Election,” February 10
- Megan M. Francis, University of Washington—“The Crimes of Freedom,” February 17
- Anna Lvovsky, Harvard Law School—“Vice Patrol: Complicating the History of Anti-Gay Policing through the ABF Archives,” March 3
- Deepa Das Acevedo, ABF Visiting Scholar and University of Alabama School of Law—“The Battle for Sabarimala,” March 10
- Deborah Dinner, Emory University School of Law—“Motherhood, Women’s Labor, and the Origins of Neoliberal Regulation, 1964–1978,” March 17
- César F. Rosado Marzán, University of Iowa College of Law—“Organizing For a Moral Economy Chapter 7: How Co-enforcement Shapes Informal Generalism,” March 31
- Darryl Li, The University of Chicago—“Comedy of Terrors: Conspiracy Law, National Security Fictions, and the Origin Story of al-Qa’ida,” April 14
- Shaun Ossei-Owusu, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School—“Police Quotas,” April 21
- Michele Bratcher Goodwin, University of California, Irvine School of Law—“A Different Type of Property: White Women and the Human Property They Kept,” April 28
- Franita Tolson, University of Southern California Gould School of Law—“In Congress We Trust? Enforcing Voting Rights from the Founding to the Jim Crow Era,” May 5
- Allison Harris, Yale University—“Can Racial Diversity Among Judges Affect Sentencing Outcomes,” September 8

- Ari Waldman, Northwestern University School of Law—“Industry Unbound: The Inside Story of Privacy, Data, and Corporate Power,” September 22
- Irene Oritseweyinmi Joe, UC Davis School of Law—“Learning from Mistakes,” September 29
- Timothy H. Lovecace, Jr., Duke University School of Law—“Corporate Social Responsibility during the Civil Rights Movement,” October 6
- Jinee Lokaneeta, Drew University—“Policing in Liberal Democracies: Legal Violence and Scientific Interrogations in India,” October 13
- Ran Hirschl, University of Toronto School of Law—“City, State: Constitutionalism and the Mega City,” October 20
- Maggie Blackhawk, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School—“America’s Other Original Sin: Indians and the Constitution in the Shadow of Empire,” October 27
- Russell Robinson, UC Berkeley School of Law—“Marriage Equality and Intersectionality,” November 3
- Mark Fathi Massoud, University of California, Santa Cruz—“How Religion Strengthens the Rule of Law: Research Findings from the Horn of Africa,” November 10
- James M. Binnall, California State University Long Beach—“Twenty Million Angry Men: A Conversation about the Exclusion of Individuals with a Felony Conviction from Jury Service,” November 17
- Dorothy E. Roberts, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School—“Family Surveillance,” December 1
The Fellows of the American Bar Foundation

The Fellows of the American Bar Foundation comprise a global honorary society of attorneys, judges, and legal scholars whose public and private careers have demonstrated exceptional dedication to the highest principles of the legal profession and to the welfare of their communities.

Established in 1955, Fellows support the research of the American Bar Foundation (ABF) and sponsor seminar programs on topics of direct relevance to the legal profession. Membership in the Fellows is limited to one percent of licensed lawyers in each jurisdiction. Fellows are recommended by their peers and approved by the Board of the ABF.

National Events
The Fellows held two virtual events in conjunction with the 2021 ABA Virtual Midyear Meeting. Featuring the research of ABF Research Professor Janice Nadler, the Fellows CLE seminar entitled “The Emotional Influence of Gruesome Photographs in the Courtroom” examined the complex interplay between gruesome courtroom photographs, conviction rates, and racial bias. The discussion was moderated by U.S. Court of Appeals Sixth Circuit Justice Hon. Bernice Donald and included contributions from Mary D. Fan, Jack R. MacDonald Endowed Chair and Professor of Law at the University of Washington School of Law, as well as Sandra Guerra Thompson, Newell H. Blakely Chair and Criminal Justice Institute Director at the University of Houston Law Center. The program was co-sponsored by the ABA Young Lawyers Division.

The 65th Annual Fellows Virtual Awards Banquet recognized the contributions of the following honorees:

- **Outstanding Service Award:**
  Stephen A. Saltzburg, Wallace and Beverley Woodbury University Professor of Law, George Washington University Law School

- **Outstanding Scholar Award:**
  Laura E. Gómez, Rachel F. Moran Endowed Chair in Law; Director, Critical Race Studies Program, UCLA

- **Distinguished Life Fellow Award:**
  James J. Sandman, Distinguished Lecturer and Senior Consultant, Future of the Profession Initiative at the University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School; President Emeritus, Legal Services Corporation.

- **Outstanding State Chair Award:**
  Louisiana Co-Chairs Elwood F. Cahill, Jr., Founding Member of Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, L.L.C. Business Group; Frank X. Neuner, Jr., Partner, Neuner & Pate

The program included keynote remarks “When Should Law Forgive?” delivered by 300th Anniversary Harvard University Professor Martha Minow. The Fellows gratefully recognize Platinum sponsor: Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz and Gold Sponsors: Kirkland & Ellis and Sandra Chan & Gary Yoshimura.

At the ABF Fellows Annual Business Meeting, held in conjunction with the August 2021 ABA Hybrid Annual Meeting, Fellows leadership reflected on the successes of the previous fiscal year, discussed upcoming Fellows business, and recognized the contributions of outgoing state chairs and Immediate Past Fellows Chair Ellen Jakovic. The meeting closed with incoming Fellows Chair Dean Emeritus Cynthia Nance thanking outgoing Fellows Chair Hon. Eileen Kato for her leadership.

The Fellows continued to host bi-monthly national webinar programming in 2021, inviting researchers and legal practitioners to discuss their findings about the law’s role in society and possible strategies for refining its practice. In March, 2020-2021 ABF William H. Neukom Chair in Diversity and Law Professor Meera Deo spoke with social scientist Destiny Peery and ABA Senior Lawyers Division Women Trailblazer’s Project Committee Chair Brooksy Born in a discussion called “Bridging the Gap: Race and Gender in the American Legal Profession.” Topics included ways to make the legal profession more inclusive and accessible to women and people of color, therefore increasing access to justice for diverse communities. A November national webinar entitled “Cooperation Without Submission” provided a space for ABF Faculty Fellow Professor Justin Richland to discuss the challenging relationships between native and non-native governmental authorities with University of Colorado Law Professor Kristen Carpenter and Hopi Appellate Court Associate Justice Hon. Patricia Sekaquaptewa.
State Events
In 2021, law school deans continued to process the changes brought on by the pandemic and 2020's civil unrest. The New York Fellows held a Dean's Roundtable Virtual Lunch Program in March that included Syracuse University College of Law Dean Craig M. Boise, Touro Law Center Dean Elena B. Langan, and NYU School of Law Dean Trevor W. Morrison. This was followed in June by a DC Fellows Virtual Event featuring Howard Law School Dean Danielle Holley-Walker, who continued the conversation about the role law schools play in defining new generations of lawyers, judges, activists, politicians, and professors.

In June, the Louisiana Fellows hosted a virtual lunch program where ABF Research Professor Anna Reosti presented her research on landlord responses to tenant protection policies during COVID-19. She was joined by Acadiana Legal Service Corporation Executive Director Greg Landry and Southeast Louisiana Legal Services Executive Director Laura Tuggle to discuss the pandemic’s impact on the local level.


Additional regional Fellows events were hosted by the ABF State Chairs of Utah, Missouri, Minnesota, California, Illinois, Georgia, Texas, Rhode Island, and Massachusetts.

Research Funds
The American Bar Foundation acknowledges with gratitude those individuals who continue to support its research funds.

The Robert O. Hetlage Scholarship Fund supports the participation of students and young faculty in the research programs of the American Bar Foundation, including the Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship Program, the Doctoral Fellowship Program, and a Young Scholars Program.

The William Reece Smith, Jr. Research Fund advances ABF research on the topics of professionalism, pro bono legal services, and the role of the legal profession internationally to advance human rights and access to justice.

The Liz and Peter Moser Research Fund in Legal Ethics, Professional Responsibility and Access to Legal Services supports path-breaking, empirical research in the field of legal ethics, professional responsibility, and access to legal services.
Life Fellows Contributions to the American Bar Foundation

Each year, Life Fellows of the ABF provide contributions that support the innovative and influential research being conducted by the American Bar Foundation. Their continued financial support is vital to the ABF’s work.

**Life Fellow Giving Societies**

Giving Societies are composed of Life Fellows whose commitment to advancing justice and the understanding of law is reflected through their contributions to the ABF and beyond their initial pledge of support. Giving societies are as follows:

- A Life Fellow who contributes an aggregate of $50,000 will be named a Philanthropist Fellow
- A Life Fellow who contributes an aggregate of $25,000 will be named a Visionary Fellow
- A Life Fellow who contributes an aggregate of $17,500 will be named a Leadership Fellow
- A Life Fellow who contributes an aggregate of $10,000 will be named a Benefactor Fellow
- A Life Fellow who contributes an aggregate of $5,000 will be named a Patron Fellow
- A Life Fellow who continues to contribute at the level listed below will be designated Sustaining

**Sustaining Giving for Life Fellow Giving Societies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GIVING LEVEL</th>
<th>ANNUAL GIVING AMOUNT</th>
<th>GIVING LEVEL</th>
<th>ANNUAL GIVING AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Philanthropist Fellow</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Benefactor Fellow</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visionary Fellow</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>Patron Fellow</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Fellow</td>
<td>$1,750</td>
<td>Life Fellow</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Changes to aggregate amounts were made in 2002 and 2017

We extend our appreciation to the many Philanthropist, Visionary, Leadership, Benefactor, Patron, and Sustaining Life Fellows listed below who invested in the ABF between September 1, 2020 and December 31, 2021. Their generosity furthers the longstanding culture of philanthropy that has supported the ABF’s empirical research.

Contributions can be pledged over a period of years. Life Fellows who contribute annually at the Sustaining Life Fellow Level and higher will be recognized in the ABF Annual Report, at Fellows events, and on the Fellows website.

**Philanthropist Fellows**

- Kenneth J. Burns, Jr.
- Michael H. Byowitz
- Sandra J. Chan
- David A. Collins
- Ellen J. Flannery
- David S. Houghton
- Robert MacCrate
- M. Peter Moser
- William H. Neukom
- Rebecca Jean Westerfield
- Joseph A. Woods, Jr.

**Visionary Fellows**

- Jacqueline Allee
- Bobbe Jean Bridge
- Mortimer M. Caplin
- John J. Creedon
- Jimmy K. Goodman
- James T. Halverson

**Benefactor Fellows**

- Timothy Joseph Abeska
- Howard J. Aibel
- M. Bernard Aidinoff
- Richards D. Barger
- Lydia Irene Beebe
- Calvin A. Beile
- Brigitte Schmidt Bell
- Gregory M. Bergman
- David Powers Berten
- Paula E. Boggis
- Brookley Elizabeth Born
- Timothy W. Bouch
- Stephen S. Bowen
- David O. Brownwood
- William A. Burck
- Elizabeth J. Cabraser
- Dan O. Callaghan
- John L. Carey
- James H. Carter
- Robert A. Clifford

**Leadership Fellows**

- The Saltsburg Fund, Karen Lake Buttry (deceased), Donald W. Buttry
- Patricia L. Glaser
- Sophia H. Hall
- John P. Heinz
- William C. Hubbard
- Arthur & Toni Rembe Rock
- Jonathan D. Schiller
- Ezekiel Solomon AM
- Larry W. Sonsini
- Reginald Turner
- Peter A. Winograd
- Irwin Mark Cohen
- Avern Cohn
- Howard Coleman Coker
- Ira B. Coldren
- Whitfield J. Collins
- William Thomas Coplin, Jr.
- Philip H. Corboy
- Joseph W. Catchett
- Clive S. Cummis
- Edward I. Cutler
- K. A. Day
- James P. DeAngelo
- Charlton Dietz
- Doreen D. Dodson
- Laura M. Douglas
- Gregory Chris Dyekman
- Jo Ann Engelhardt
- Fern Farris
- Sarah Gemma Flanagan
- George S. Frazza
- Robert L. Geltzer
- Jean and Leonard Gilbert
- Sheldon G. Gilman
- Norman Goldberger
- Lynne Z. Gold-Bikin
- Daniel L. Golden
- Rew R. Goodenow
- Jamie S. Gorelick
- Kathryn O. Greenberg
- Robert J. Grey, Jr.
- Robert V. Gunderson
- Roy A. Hammer
- John F. Harkness, Jr.
- Edwin A. Harnden
- Gerald J. Hayes
- Keith A. Hebeisen
- Jon Hoffheimer
- Steven Lyon Holley
- Kathleen Joan Hopkins
- Richard R. Howe
- Douglas A. Jacobsen
- Ellen M. Jakovic
- I.S. Leevy Johnson
- Wilbur E. Johnson
- Robert M. Kaufman
- Linda A. Klein
- Joseph P. Klock, Jr.
- Ira B. Coldren, Jr.
- Adebayo Oriola
- Donald R. Osborn
- Scott F. Partridge
- John H. Pickering
- Vincent F. Pitta
- Richard W. Pogue
- Yvonne S. Quinn
- Norman Redlich
- Hector Reichard De Cardona, Jr.
- Harvey T. Reid
- Simon H. Rifkind
- Robert F. Riley
- Russell M. Robinson II
- Ronald S. Solfe
- Michael J. Rooney
- W. Brian Rose
- Ellen F. Rosenblum
- Eric M. Roth
- James B. Sales
- Dennis Arnold Schoville

**GIVING LEVEL**

- Philanthropist Fellow
- Visionary Fellow
- Leadership Fellow
- Benefactor Fellow
- Patron Fellow
- Life Fellow

**ANNUAL GIVING AMOUNT**

- $5,000
- $2,500
- $1,750
- $1,000
- $500
- $300

*Changes to aggregate amounts were made in 2002 and 2017*
The Cornerstone Giving Society

The Cornerstone Giving Society of the American Bar Foundation was created in 2013 to acknowledge our growing family of individuals and organizations who have made contributions to the ABF outside the auspices of the Fellows of the American Bar Foundation Giving Societies.

ABF gratefully acknowledges the following individuals and organizations who have given so generously since 2013 as Cornerstone Giving Society donors.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jeff and Jennifer Allred</td>
<td>Bruce and Heidi Gillies</td>
<td>Elizabeth E. Mertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>Lori Graesser</td>
<td>Lisa Modesto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth L. Ashley</td>
<td>Terence Halliday</td>
<td>David H. Morse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hulett H. Askew</td>
<td>Katy Harris</td>
<td>Elizabeth K. Moser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa A. Bail</td>
<td>Monique Harris</td>
<td>Robert L. Nelson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen Berrey</td>
<td>Thomas Hayman</td>
<td>Charles A. Neustadt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Honor of Robert L. Nelson</td>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. John Heller</td>
<td>Laura Beth Nielsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malcolm Beyer</td>
<td>Bill Heller</td>
<td>John F. Nownes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick J. Borchers</td>
<td>Anne W. Heflige</td>
<td>Rhonda B. Ogle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nina H. Borders</td>
<td>Norman M. Hirsch</td>
<td>In Memory of Charles A. Snyder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verena Budiman</td>
<td>Alisha Holland</td>
<td>Tray Oldemeyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeAnne Burnett</td>
<td>Dr. and Mrs. John Holliman</td>
<td>Howard P. Olsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark W. Buyck, Jr.</td>
<td>Barbara Hou</td>
<td>Kathleen D. Pace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce C. Carruthers</td>
<td>Joseph Leo Howard</td>
<td>Linda Panitz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Honor of Robert L. Nelson</td>
<td>Parul Jajoo</td>
<td>John P. Passarelli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malcolm Beyer</td>
<td>Katherine James</td>
<td>Edward D. Poliakoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick J. Borchers</td>
<td>Philippe Jeck</td>
<td>Janet and Mark Price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nina H. Borders</td>
<td>Shulamith D. Kang</td>
<td>Diane Racicot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verena Budiman</td>
<td>Reuven J. Katz</td>
<td>Ann Ramseyer and Hugo Kapelke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeAnne Burnett</td>
<td>Mimi Kazon</td>
<td>Jennifer Riley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark W. Buyck, Jr.</td>
<td>Mimi Kim</td>
<td>Richard W. Riley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce C. Carruthers</td>
<td>Alice L. King</td>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. S. Donley Ritchey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Honor of Robert L. Nelson</td>
<td>Willa Kravitz</td>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. Harrison Robinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malcolm Beyer</td>
<td>Moira Kennedy</td>
<td>Neil S. Rockind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick J. Borchers</td>
<td>Patricia A. Lamberty</td>
<td>Lawrence Rodowsky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Honor of Robert L. Nelson</td>
<td>Theodora R. Lee</td>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. James F. Rogers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malcolm Beyer</td>
<td>Richard H. Lilley</td>
<td>Mary Rose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick J. Borchers</td>
<td>Goodwin H. Liu</td>
<td>In Honor of Robert L. Nelson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Honor of Robert L. Nelson</td>
<td>Sida Liu</td>
<td>Elizabeth Roth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malcolm Beyer</td>
<td>In Honor of Robert L. Nelson</td>
<td>Nina Rowe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick J. Borchers</td>
<td>Ryan Martin</td>
<td>William Rowe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Honor of Robert L. Nelson</td>
<td>Patricia McCarty</td>
<td>Keith A. Rowley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malcolm Beyer</td>
<td>Jeffrey A. McIntyre</td>
<td>Carla Rozycki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick J. Borchers</td>
<td>Robert A. McKenzie</td>
<td>Robert J. Sampson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robin Edwards</td>
<td>Robin McKenzie</td>
<td>In Honor of Robert L. Nelson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Ernst</td>
<td>Ajay K. Mehrotra</td>
<td>Cheryl Scott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lois Feinblatt</td>
<td>Yamini Hingorani</td>
<td>Morgan Scott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathleen Ford</td>
<td>Amit Mehrotra</td>
<td>Nikita Shahbaz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth C. Frazier</td>
<td>Neena Mehrotra</td>
<td>Susan Shapiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald Leslie Friedrichsen</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Natalie Shoop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Furth</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Steven Simon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Gar</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Edward D. Simsarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Sharon V. Snyder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Sharon Veta Snyder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>In Honor of Charles A. Snyder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>David Veta Snyder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>David Dennis Sommers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Joan P. Stacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>In Memory of Horace E. Stacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Kristina A. St. Clair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Dominique Steffens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Jennifer Stephen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>John B. Simon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Geoffrey R. Stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Tommy and Jane Suggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Christy Tedeschi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>MarySue Traxler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Amanda Tyler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Lucinda Underwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Laura Utterback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Susan Vazzano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Peeranut Visetsuth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Jonathan Wiggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>In Honor of Sarah Drew Wiggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>David B. Wilkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>In Honor of Robert L. Nelson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Christina Wincek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Carolyn Winfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>George B. Wolfe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Sekou Writes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Meridiah Scott</td>
<td>Gary H. Yoshimura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Gifts or pledges received as of December 31, 2021
Cornerstone Organizations

195 Essential LLC  
5th Avenue Theatre  
AccessLex  
African American Policy Forum  
Akerman LLP  
Albany Law School  
Allen Law Firm, P.C.  
Allstate Insurance Company  
American Bar Association  
• ABA Commission on Women in the Profession  
• ABA Criminal Justice Section  
• ABA Government and Public Sector Lawyers Division  
• ABA Health Law Section  
• ABA Louisiana Delegation  
• ABA National Conference of Federal Trial Judges  
• ABA Rule of Law Initiative (American Bar Association)  
• ABA Section of Civil Rights and Social Justice  
• ABA Section of International Law (American Bar Association)  
• ABA Section of Real Property, Trust and Estate Law  
• ABA Section on Intellectual Property Law (American Bar Association)  
• ABA Solo, Small Firm and General Practice Division  
• ABA Standing Committee on Lawyers’ Professional Liability  
• ABA Tort Trial and Insurance Practice Section  
Andrews Kurth  
Anonymous  
Arkansas Bar Association  
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP  
Asian American Bar Association of the Greater Bay Area  
Asian American Law Fund of New York  
Associated Jewish Charities of Baltimore  
AT&T  
Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC  
Banner & Witcoff, LTD.  
Barran Liebman LLP  
Bedell Dittmar DeVault Pillans & Cox  
Bennett Jones LLP  
Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation  
Boies Schiller and Flexner LLP  
Briggs and Morgan  
Bullivant Houser Bailey PC  
The California Bar Foundation  
The California Community Foundation  
California Supreme Court Historical Society  
Charles Hamilton Houston Institute for Race and Justice  
The Chicago Community Trust  
Clark Hill PLC  
Clifford Law Offices  
The Cloox Company  
Columbia Law School  
Cornell University  
Crowe & Dunlevy  
Crowley Fleck PLLP  
Davis Polk  
D.C. Bar  
Dickinson Wright PLLC  
Disability Rights International  
Donahue Gallagher Woods LLP  
Dykema Gossett PLLC  
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC  
Emory University School of Law  
Epstein Becker Green  
Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP  
Fishman Haygood LLP  
The Florida Bar  
Franckz P.C.  
The George Washington Law School  
Georgetown Law  
Giuliano Richardson & Sfara LLC  
Gordon Thomas Honeywell LLP  
Greenberg Traurig, P.A.  
Hansen, McClintock & Riley  
Harrison & Held, LLP  
Haynes and Boone, LLP  
Helsel Fetterman  
Hill Ward Henderson  
Hillsborough County Bar Association, Inc.  
Holland & Knight LLP  
Howard University School of Law  
Innocence Project New Orleans  
James W. Tippin & Associates  
Jenner & Block  
John Deere & Company Global Law Services  
Jones Day  
Jones Walker LLP  
Jones, Foster, Johnston & Stubbs, P.A.  
K&L Gates LLP  
King County Bar Association  
KPMG  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
Latham & Watkins LLP  
Langrock Sperry & Wool, LLP  
Law School Admission Council (LSAC)  
Leadership Council on Legal Diversity  
The Legal Aid Society  
Legal Division of Oklahoma Health Care Authority  
Lewis Baach PLLC  
Littler Mendelson P.C.  
Lorman Education Services  
Louisiana Bar Foundation  
Louisiana Judicial Council – National Bar Association  
Louisiana State Bar Association  
LSU Law  
Marquette Associates  
McGill Goldsiner Workman & Lepp, P.C., L.L.O  
McGuire Woods  
Michigan State University College of Law  
Minnesota State Bar Association, Inc.  
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovyks and Popeo, P.C.  
The Kenneth and Harle Montgomery Foundation on behalf of Bryant Garth  
Microsoft Corporation  
Myron M. Studner Foundation  
National Association of Women Judges  
National Business Institute, Inc., DBA  
National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges  
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP  
NeunerPate  
New York Law School  
New York State Bar Association  
New York University School of Law  
Northrop Grumman  
Northwestern University  
• Pritzker School of Law  
• Kellogg School of Management  
• The Graduate School  
• Office of the Provost  
• Weinberg College of Arts and Sciences  
Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C.  
One Acadiana  
Oscar M. Ruebhausen Fund at Yale Law School  
Parsons Behle & Latimer  
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP  
Perkins Coie LLP  
Practising Law Institute  
Public Good Software  
Qualcomm Foundation  
Robie & Matthai  
Rutgers Law School  
Savitt Bruce & Willey LLP  
Seattle Symphony  
Sher Garner Cahill Richter Klein & Hilbert, L.L.C.  
Sidley Austin LLP  
The Simon Law Firm, P.C.  
Simon, Peragallo, Smith & Redfern LLP  
Society Hill Synagogue  
Sourcebooks  
State Bar of Michigan  
Sterne Kessler Goldstein Fox PLLC  
Susman Godfrey L.L.P.  
Thompson Coburn LLP  
Thomson Reuters  
Tres Chicas  
UC Berkeley School of Law  
UC Davis School of Law  
UCLA  
• Chicano Studies Research Center  
• David J. Epstein Program in Public Interest Law and Policy  
• UCLA Law Critical Race Studies Program  
• Office of the Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost  
• School of Law  
United Airlines  
United Way of Acadiana  
University of Alberta, Faculty of Law  
University of Arkansas  
University of California, Irvine School of Law  
University of Florida Levin College of Law  
University of Minnesota Law School  
UNLV  
William S. Boyd School of Law  
Venable LLP  
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz  
Walmart  
Washington Performing Arts  
Wilentz  
Williams & Anderson PLC  
Wilson, Eiser, Moskwitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP  
Winston & Strawn LLP  
Wolters Kluwer  
Wolverine Bar Association  
Women’s Bar Association Foundation  
Women’s Bar Association of DC  
Yale Law School  
Young Mayden Connect Legal Search & Consulting  
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The American Bar Foundation Legacy Society

The ABF Legacy Society recognizes and honors those who make a commitment to the future of the ABF by providing for the ABF in their estate plans or other planned giving instruments.

Membership in the ABF Legacy Society is one way that the ABF can acknowledge your generosity. If you have provided a legacy gift for the ABF or if you intend to do so, you qualify for membership in the ABF Legacy Society. If you would like to become a member, or if you have any questions about making a legacy gift, please contact Kathy Pace, Director of Development, at 312-988-6511 or kpace@abfn.org.

We are grateful for the enduring support of the ABF Legacy Society members:

Anonymous, CA
Martha W. Barnett, Tallahassee, FL
Gabrielle M. Buckley, Chicago, IL
Theresa C. Burian & Craig S. Peterson, Cincinnati, OH
Michael H. Byowitz & Ruth Holzer, New York, NY
James H. Carter, New York, NY
Jonathan J. Cole, Nashville, TN
David A. Collins, Chicago, IL
Doreen D. Dodson, Saint Louis, MO
Hon. Bernice B. Donald, Memphis, TN
Jo Ann Engelhardt, Ocean Ridge, FL
Ellen J. Flannery, Falls Church, VA
Rew R. Goodenow, Reno, NV
Jimmy K. Goodman, Oklahoma City, OK
Terence & Holly Halliday, Chicago, IL
John & Anne Heinz, Chicago, IL
Robert E. Hirshon, Ann Arbor, MI
Daniel J. Hoffheimer, Cincinnati, OH
David S. Houghton, Omaha, NE
William C. Hubbard, Columbia, SC
Ellen M. Jakovic & David Lipson, Washington, DC
Linda A. Klein, Atlanta, GA
Robert MacCrate*
Judy Perry Martinez, New Orleans, LA
Ajay K. Mehrotra & Yamini Hingorani, Chicago, IL
M. Peter Moser*
Robert L. Nelson, Chicago, IL
William H. Neukom, Seattle, WA
Hon. Cara Lee T. Neville (Ret.), Minneapolis, MN
J. Anthony & Marylou Patterson, Whitefish, MT
William G. Paul, Oklahoma City, OK
Patricia L. Refo, Phoenix, AZ
Andrew Schpak & Erica Hetfeld-Schpak, Portland, OR
James R. Silkenat, New York, NY
Donald D. Slesnick, Coral Gables, FL
E. Thomas Sullivan, Burlington, VT
Walter L. Sutton, Ph.D., Dallas, TX
Reginald M. Turner, Detroit, MI
H. Thomas Wells, Jr., Birmingham, AL

*Deceased
Late United States Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg spent her career as a fierce advocate for equal justice under law. She was a tireless supporter of civil rights, and she inspired countless lawyers and advocates to fight for civil rights for all in the United States. Although progress has been made, the modern civil rights movement continues to work to address the serious and significant inequities in our society.

The American Bar Foundation’s (ABF) Ruth Bader Ginsburg Endowed Fund for Research in Civil Rights and Gender Equality aims to honor Justice Ginsburg’s lifelong work to ensure that all Americans receive equal protection under the law. It commemorates her legacy as a legal trailblazer by supporting significant and pathbreaking research and programming on civil rights and gender equality. This endowed fund is dedicated to preserving and upholding Justice Ginsburg’s legacy by championing scholarship that will engender a more fair, equitable, and just future.

The endowed fund also pays tribute to Justice Ginsburg’s steadfast and generous support of the ABF. As a part of her longstanding service to the American public and the legal profession, Justice Ginsburg served on the ABF Board of Directors for more than a decade prior to her nomination to the United States Supreme Court. She was an officer on the ABF Board, holding the position of Board Secretary for many years. Justice Ginsburg was also a Patron Fellow of the ABF and played a crucial role in making the ABF the institution it is today. The endowed fund has received an endorsement from Justice Ginsburg’s children, Jim and Jane, in honor of their mother’s long legacy and affiliation with the ABF.

In order to achieve this vision, the ABF seeks to build the Ruth Bader Ginsburg Endowment to support high-quality research and programming in civil rights and gender equality. Each gift is essential to helping the ABF lead the way forward with empirical and interdisciplinary research and programming that promotes a more equal and just society. Supporters of the campaign will share in the success of this vital undertaking.

The ABF is grateful for support from the following investors:*  

**Lead Investor**  
($150,000 and above)  
Sandra J. Chan, Gary Yoshimura, and Tres Chicas

**Founder**  
($75,000 - $149,999)  
Hon. Rebecca J. Westerfield (Ret.)

**Champion**  
($25,000 - $74,999)  
Hon. Bobbe Jean Bridge  
Hon. Sophia H. Hall  
Theodora R. Lee  
Hon. Miriam Shearing

**Sponsor**  
($5,000 - $9,999)  
Michael Byowitz & Ruth Holzer  
Ajay Mehrotra & Yamini Hingorani

**Supporter**  
($2,500 - $4,999)  
Hon. Christy D. Comstock  
Elizabeth E. Mertz  
Robert F. Riley  
Barry Sullivan

**Friend**  
($1,000 - $2,499)  
Douglas C. Barnard  
Michele Coleman Mayes  
Vishnu & Neena Mehrotra  
Linda Randell  
E. Thomas Sullivan  
Jia Zhao

**Other contributors (up to $999)**  
Robert O. Ackley  
Gemma B. Allen  
Mary C. Calkins  
Hon. Sharon Coleman  
Daniel Cotter  
Brian Duwe  
Monique Harris  
Malcolm Harsch  
Hon. Barbara K. Howe  
Jennifer Howe  
Shulamith D. Kang  
Alice L. King  
Hon. Goodwin H. Liu  
Adrienne C. Mazura  
Patricia McCarty  
Robin McKenzie  
Hon. Kristen L. Mix  
Lisa Modesto  
John H. Morrison  
Howard P. Olsen  
Megan O’Malley  
Kathy Pace  
Provençal Collective, Inc.  
Caroline Shoenberger  
Geoffrey R. Stone  
Hon. Elizabeth S. Stong  
Wendy M. Taube  
Kevin Tottis  
Amanda Tyler  
Hon. Mary R. Vasaly

* For more information or to make a gift, contact Kathy Pace, Director of Development at kpace@abfn.org.
The American Bar Foundation has a long legacy of championing research on law and education from a variety of perspectives. The most recent initiative to recognize scholarship in this increasingly timely field of study is the establishment of the William C. Hubbard Law & Education Conference Endowment. Stimulated by a generous lead investment from ABF Philanthropist Fellow, William H. Neukom, the ABF is honored to establish an endowment that will fund, in perpetuity, regular conferences that will recognize and disseminate innovative and significant scholarship on law and education. The conferences supported by this endowment will honor William C. Hubbard’s lifelong contribution to the legal profession and his enduring interest in law and education.

For more information or to make a gift, contact Kathy Pace, Director of Development at kpace@abfn.org.

The American Bar Foundation gratefully acknowledges the following supporters of the William C. Hubbard Law & Education Conference Endowment

**Lead Investor**
William H. Neukom

**Champions**
($25,000 & above)
David & Debra Houghton
Kenneth & Harle Montgomery Foundation

**Leaders**
($10,000 – $24,999)
Michael Byowitz & Ruth Holzer
William & Kappy Hubbard
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP

**Sponsors**
($5,000 – $9,999)
Kenneth C. Frazier
Jimmy & Deborah Goodman
Jamie S. Gorelick
Richard W. Riley
Young Mayden
Legal Search & Consulting

**Supporters**
($2,500 – $4,999)
Doreen D. Dodson
John D. Elliott
Kathleen Joan Hopkins
Ellen M. Jakovic
I.S. Leevy & Doris Johnson
Ajay Mehrotra & Yamini Hingorani
William G. Paul
Andrew J. Savage
James R. Silkenat
Tommy & Jane Suggs
Walter & Beverly Sutton
Reginald & Marcia Turner

**Friends**
($1,000 – $2,499)
Mark D. Agrast
Jeff & Jennifer Allred
Paula E. Boggs
Sandra Chan & Gary Yoshimura
K.A. & Atiya Day
John P. and Anne M. Heinz
Carolyn B. Lamm & Peter Halle
Carol F. Lee
David Levi
Robert A. McKenzie
Tommy & Felicia Preston
Roberta Cooper Ramo
Matthew & Beth Richardson
Pamela Roberts & Joel Smith
Daniel B. Rodriguez & Leslie Oster
Rebecca A. Roser
Gary L. Sasso
Michael & Shirley Traynor
Pauline A. Weaver

**Other contributors**
(up to $999)
Robert O. Ackley
Michelle A. Behnke
James Bidgood
Amelia H. Boss
Mark W. Buycyk, Jr.
George B. Cauthen
Sally H. Caver
Jennifer M. Chacón
C. Edward Dobbs
Brian C. Duffy
E. Tazewell Ellett
Joshua A. Geltzer
Benjamin E. Griffith
Judge Sophia Hall
Albert C. Harvey
Thomas Hayman
R. William Ide III
William R. Johnson
Michael C. Jones
Judge Eileen Kato (ret.)
Gail A. Lion
Chief Judge James E. Lockamy
Myles Lynk
René & Judy Perry Martinez
Caryn Coppedge McNeill
Barbara Mendel Mayden
Kelley & Wilson Montjoy
Dean Cyndi E. Nance
Kathleen D. Pace
Alice F. Paylor
Christina Plum
Edward E. Poliafoff
Mr. & Mrs. James F. Rogers
Andrew Schpak
Judge Margaret Seymour
Robert A. Stein
Judge Elizabeth S. Stong
E. Thomas Sullivan
Carmen Harper Thomas
George B.Wolfe
Stephen & Marguerite Zack

*Gifts or pledges received as of December 31, 2021*
Personnel

Samantha Berghoff  
Fellows Administrative Assistant
Francine Blazowski  
Executive Assistant
Matthew Burnett  
Senior Program Officer (11/2021)
Jessie Gotsdiner  
Manager of HR & Operations (09/2021)
Senitra L. Griffin  
Accounts Payable/Receivable Specialist
Matthew Martinez Hannon  
Development Associate
Devon Johnson  
Digital Media Specialist
Sophie H. Kofman  
Academic Affairs Assistant
Ajay K. Mehrotra  
Executive Director
Lisa Modesto  
Senior Accounting Manager
Kathy Pace  
Director of Development
Matthew Parr  
Communications Manager (12/2021)
Ann Pikus  
Director of Operations
Breck Radulovic  
Editorial Coordinator, Law & Social Inquiry; Program Associate
Zeki Salah  
Database & Gift Processing Specialist (11/2021)
Marcilena Shaeffer  
Development & Program Associate
Natalie Shoop  
Director of the Fellows
Jeff Swim  
IT Manager
Crissonna Tennison  
Fellows Communication and Development Associate

ABF Staff: (Standing, L-R) D. Johnson, M. Parr, A. Pikus, Z. Salah, K. Traxler, S. Chien, F. Blazowski, J. Gotsdiner, B. Radulovic, C. Tennison, S. Toney, S. Berghoff, L. Modesto (Seated, L-R) K. Pace, N. Shoop, A. Mehrotra, M. Shaeffer, S. Kofman

ABF Executive Director  
Ajay K. Mehrotra

Sjar Toney  
Chief Financial Officer
Katy Traxler  
Fellows Event Manager

Special Thank You
Tracy Bradford Farley, Quarles & Brady LLP
Andrew L. Oringer, Dechert LLP
Sarah A. Burke, Dechert LLP
Personnel

Research Support Staff

Kathryn Albrecht  |  Spencer Caro  |  Alexandra McCoy  |  Christy Parzysz
Taylor Bettis  |  Sohum Chokshi  |  Catherine Moore  |  Hannah Phalen
Arumima Bhattacharjee  |  Annanicole Fine  |  Robin Moore  |  Anneliese Slamonwitz
Jenna Bujalski  |  Alison Gunasinghe  |  Erik Noren  |  Cassidy Wang
Logan Bussey  |  Kylie Kulak  |  Breanna Olson

Affiliated Scholars

Ellen Berrey, University of Toronto
Stephen Engel, Bates College
Holly A. Foster, Texas A&M University
Nicole Gonzalez Van Cleve, Temple University
Spencer Headworth, Purdue University
Alyx Mark, Wesleyan University
Sarah Morando Lakhani, UC Berkeley School of Law
Daniel B. Rodriguez, Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law
Christopher J. Ryan, Roger Williams University School of Law
Ioana Sendroui, University of Toronto
Matthew Shaw, Vanderbilt Law School
Carole Silver, Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law
Stefan Vogler, Northwestern University Center for Legal Studies
Jill Weinberg, Tufts University

Collaborating Scholars

Atinuke Adediran, Northwestern University
James Bowers, St. John Fisher College
Henry Brady, University of California, Berkeley
Thomas M. Clarke, National Center for State Courts
Jean Comaroff, Harvard University
Susan Coutin, University of California, Irvine
Flavio Cunha, Rice University
Sarah Deer, Hamline University School of Law
Justin Desautels-Stein, University of Colorado Law School
Sara Dezalay, Cardiff University
Richard Holden, University of New South Wales, Australia
Aziz Huq, University of Chicago Law School
Philip Edward Jones, University of Delaware
Joshua Kaiser, Northwestern University
Fiona Kay, Queens University
Sanja Kutnjak Ivkovich, Michigan State University
Rasmus Landersø, Rockwool Foundation
Kay Lehman Schlozman, Boston College
Richard O. Lempert, University of Michigan
Joanne Martin, American Bar Endowment
Ethan Michelson, Indiana University Bloomington
Monique Payne-Pikus, University of Texas at Austin
Rodrigo Pinto, University of California, Los Angeles
Gabriele Plickert, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona
Mary R. Rose, University of Texas at Austin
Greg Shaffer, University of California, Irvine School of Law
Joyce Sterling, University of Denver, Sturm College of Law
David Thomson, University of Denver, Sturm College of Law
David M. Trubek, University of Wisconsin Law School
Sidney Verba, Harvard University
Gregory Veramendi, Arizona State University
Mila Veramendi, University of Virginia School of Law
Alaka Wall, Field Museum of Natural History
David B. Wilkins, Harvard University Law School
Hongqi Wu, China University of Political Science and Law
Hye Young You, Vanderbilt University

Visiting Scholars

Jeanette A. Colyvas, Kellogg School of Management
Deepa Das Acevedo, University of Alabama
Kimberly Kay Hoang, University of Chicago
Rahim Kurwa, University of Illinois at Chicago
Jack Jin Gary Lee, Centre for Asian Legal Studies, NUS Law
Mark McGarvie, College of William and Mary
Mona Oraby, Amherst College
Raul Sanchez-Urrizarri, La Trobe University

### Statement of Financial Position

**Fiscal Years Ended August 31, 2021 and 2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>August 31, 2021</th>
<th>August 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$2,475,611</td>
<td>$3,040,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term investments</td>
<td>31,172,584</td>
<td>27,063,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables and other</td>
<td>4,069,995</td>
<td>3,728,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses</td>
<td>125,336</td>
<td>71,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property and equipment</td>
<td>82,124</td>
<td>57,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$37,925,650</strong></td>
<td><strong>$33,961,410</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and other accrued expenses</td>
<td>713,766</td>
<td>469,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred revenues and refundable grant advances</td>
<td>631,672</td>
<td>516,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital lease obligations</td>
<td>43,612</td>
<td>57,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred rent liability</td>
<td>160,065</td>
<td>89,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension liability</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>962,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans payable</td>
<td>175,532</td>
<td>1,420,985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,724,647</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,515,152</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
<td>22,437,628</td>
<td>18,538,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor restricted</td>
<td>13,763,375</td>
<td>11,907,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Net Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 36,201,003</strong></td>
<td><strong>$30,446,258</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities and Net Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$37,925,650</strong></td>
<td><strong>$33,961,410</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
This information is abstracted from the ABF’s 2021 and 2020 financial statements.
# Financial Report 2020–2021

## Statement of Activities

Fiscal Years Ended August 31, 2021 and 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>August 31, 2021</th>
<th>August 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Bar Endowment grant</td>
<td>$3,443,994</td>
<td>$3,241,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Fellows of the American Bar Foundation</td>
<td>2,415,318</td>
<td>2,313,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABF Endowment annual spending allowance</td>
<td>319,319</td>
<td>395,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants, contributions and other support</td>
<td>1,380,823</td>
<td>984,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$7,559,454</td>
<td>$6,935,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research activities</td>
<td>4,038,964</td>
<td>3,477,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellows’ services</td>
<td>362,463</td>
<td>475,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Law &amp; Social Inquiry</em></td>
<td>147,866</td>
<td>179,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic affairs and fellowships</td>
<td>555,583</td>
<td>614,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development and fundraising</td>
<td>554,449</td>
<td>538,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration and facilities</td>
<td>1,870,696</td>
<td>1,783,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>$7,530,021</td>
<td>$7,068,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Results from Operations</strong></td>
<td>$29,433</td>
<td>$(133,807)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
This information is abstracted from the ABF’s 2021 and 2020 financial statements.
Allocation of Funding

FY 2020–2021
American Bar Foundation Research Projects

18% Making and Implementing Law
48% Protecting Rights, Accessing Justice
19% Learning and Practicing Law
15% Other Research Programs
Annual Report 2021