

**APPENDIX C: Supplemental Tables and Graphs for *Is It Fair? Law Professors' Perceptions of Tenure* by K. Barnes and E. Mertz (Not found in paper)**

**Table 5: Committee Work by Gender and Minority Status**

Committee	White Men	White Women	Minority Men	Minority Women	Overall
Appointments	48.7%	57.5%	53.2%	64.6%	52.0%
Advisory to Dean	28.9%	21.4%	29.7%	27.2%	27.1%
Curriculum	50.2%	50.2%	37.0%	39.0%	49.0%
Speaker Series	24.1%	30.2%	29.7%	42.1%	26.9%
Student Issues	45.9%	56.2%	57.1%	68.2%	50.1%
Program Development	32.4%	34.0%	35.9%	39.5%	33.2%
University-Wide	38.2%	43.4%	54.5%	54.0%	41.2%
Diversity	1.3%	5.8%	3.9%	11.0%	3.0
Average Total Number of Different Committees	1.2	1.6	1.0	1.5	1.3

Figure 4(a): Fairness of the Tenure Process by Cohort, Parental Status and Gender




Figure 4(b): Fairness of the Tenure Process by Cohort, Parental Status and Gender




Figure 5(a): Ease of the Tenure Process by Cohort, Parental Status and Gender






Figure 5(b): Ease of the Tenure Process by Cohort, Parental Status and Gender



**Figure 6(a): Rewarding Nature of the Tenure Process by Cohort, Parental Status and Gender**



**Figure 6(b): Rewarding Nature of the Tenure Process by Cohort, Parental Status and Gender**

